## **GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**



### **Tariff Order**

Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

For

Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)

Case No. 1373 of 2013 29<sup>th</sup> April 2014

6<sup>th</sup> Floor, GIFT ONE, Road 5C, GIFT CITY Gandhinagar-382 335 (Gujarat), INDIA Phone: +91-79-23602000 Fax: +91-79-23602054/55 E-mail: gerc@gercin.org : Website www.gercin.org



# GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (GERC)

### **GANDHINAGAR**

### **Tariff Order**

Truing up for FY 2012-13 and

Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

For

Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)

Case No. 1373 of 2013 29<sup>th</sup> April 2014

## **CONTENTS**

1. Background and Brief History	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)	2
1.3 Commission's Orders for the second Control period	2
1.4 Admission of the current petition and public hearing process	3
1.5 Contents of this order	
1.6 Approach of this Order	5
2. A Summary of PGVCL's Petition	6
2.1 Actuals for FY 2012-13 Submitted by PGVCL	6
2.2 Summary of projected revenue gap for FY 2014-15	6
2.3 PGVCL's request to the Commission:	7
3. Brief outline of objections raised, response from PGVCL and	d the
Commission's View	8
3.0 Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response	and
Commission's observation	8
3.1 Common Suggestions/objections	8
3.2 Suggestions/objections pertaining to PGVCL	
4. Truing up for FY 2012-13	40
4.1 Energy sales	40
4.2 Distribution Losses	41
4.3 Energy Requirement	42
4.4 Power purchase cost	43
4.4.1 Gains/(Losses) due to distribution losses	45
4.5 Fixed Charges	47
4.5.1 Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses for FY 2012-13	47
4.5.2 Employee Cost	48
4.5.3 Repairs and Maintenance (R&M) expenses	49
4.5.4 Administrative and General (A&G) expenses	50



4.5.5 Other Debits	50
4.5.6 Extraordinary Items	51
4.5.7 Net Prior Period Expenses / Income	51
4.5.8 Other Expenses Capitalised	51
4.5.9 Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of CAPEX	52
4.5.10 Depreciation	54
4.5.11 Interest and Guarantee charges	56
4.5.12 Interest on Working Capital	58
4.5.13 Provision for bad debts	60
4.5.14 Return on equity	61
4.5.15 Taxes	63
4.5.16 Non-Tariff Income	64
4.6 Revenue From Sale of Power	66
4.7 ARR Approved in the Truing up	67
4.8 Sharing of Gains / (Losses) for FY 2012-13	68
4.9 Revenue gap / Surplus for FY 2012-13	69
4.10 Consolidated revenue Surplus of the Discoms for FY 2012-13	70
5. Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15	72
5.1 Introduction	72
5.2 Approved ARR for FY 2014-15	72
5.3 Projected Revenue From the Existing Tariff for FY 2014-15	73
5.4 Estimated Revenue and Revenue Gap/Surplus for FY 2014-15	75
6. Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment	77
6.1 Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment	
6.2 Formula	
7. Wheeling charges and cross subsidy surcharge	79
7.1 Allocation matrix	79
7.2 Wheeling charges	
7.3 Cross subsidy charge	



8. Compliance of directives	84
8.1 Compliance of Directives	84
9. Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals	93
COMMISSION'S ORDER	95
ANNEXURE: TARIFE SCHEDULE FOR FY 2014-15	96



## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1: Actuals Submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13	6
Table 2.2: ARR, Revenue and Gap for FY 2014-15	7
Table 4.1: Category–wise Actual Sales for FY 2012-13	40
Table 4.2: Energy sales approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	41
Table 4.3: Distribution Losses considered for truing up for FY 2012-13	42
Table 4.4: Energy Requirement and Energy Balance, as Submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13	42
Table 4.5: Energy Requirement Approved by the Commission for Truing up for FY 2012-13	43
Table 4.6: Net Power Purchase Cost for FY 2012-13	44
Table 4.7: Power purchase cost submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13	44
Table 4.8: Power purchase cost as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13	45
Table 4.9: Power Purchase Cost Approved by the Commission for Truing up for FY 2012-13	45
Table 4.10: Gains/ (Losses) on account of distribution losses for FY 2012-13	45
Table 4.11: Approved Gains / (losses) – Power Purchase Expenses for Truing up	46
Table 4.12: O&M Expenses Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	47
Table 4.13: O&M expenses and Gains/(Losses) submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13	47
Table 4.14: Employee Cost Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	48
Table 4.15: R&M Expenses Submitted by PGVCL for the Truing up for FY 2012-13	49
Table 4.16: A&G Expenses Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	50
Table 4.17: Approved O&M Expenses and Gains/(Losses) in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	52
Table 4.18: Capital Expenditure Submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13	53
Table 4.19: Approved Capitalisation and Source of Funding in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	53
Table 4.20: Depreciation Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	54
Table 4.21: Fixed Assets and Depreciation Computed by PGVCL for FY 2012-13	54
Table 4.22: Gains/ (Losses) due to Depreciation Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	55
Table 4.23: Approved Depreciation in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	55
Table 4.24: Gains/(Losses) due to Depreciation Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	56
Table 4.25: Interest and Guarantee Charges Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	3.56
Table 4.26: Interest and Guarantee Charges Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	56
Table 4.27: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Interest & Guarantee Charges for FY 2012-13	57
Table 4.28: Interest & Guarantee charges approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 20	12-
13	58
Table 4.29: Gains/ (Losses) Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	58
Table 4.30: Interest on Working Capital Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	59
Table 4.31: Interest on Working Capital, Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	3.59
Table 4.32: Interest on Working Capital Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	60
Table 4.33: Provision for Bad Debts Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	60



Table 4.34: Provision for Bad Debts for FY 2012-13	60
Table 4.35: Gains/ (Losses) due to Bad Debt Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	61
Table 4.36: Return on Equity Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	61
Table 4.37: Return on Equity Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	62
Table 4.38: Return on Equity Approved for FY 2012-13	62
Table 4.39: Approved Gains/(Losses) Due to Return on Equity in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	
(Rs. Crore)	63
Table 4.40: Taxes Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	63
Table 4.41: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Provision for tax for FY 2012-13	63
Table 4.42: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Tax in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	64
Table 4.43: Non-Tariff Income Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	64
Table 4.44: Gains/(Losses) Submitted due to Non-Tariff Income for FY 2012-13	65
Table 4.45: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Non-Tariff Income in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	66
Table 4.46: Revenue Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	66
Table 4.47: Revenue Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13	66
Table 4.48: ARR Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	67
Table 4.49: Projected Revenue gap / (Surplus) FY 2012-13	69
Table 4.50: Revenue Surplus/(Gap) approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	70
Table 4.51: Consolidated revenue surplus of four Discoms for FY 2012-13	71
Table 4.52: Net revenue (Gap) / Surplus approved for FY 2012-13	71
Table 5.1: Approved ARR for FY 2014-15	72
Table 5.2: Projected Revenue for FY 2014-15	73
Table 5.3: Projected Sales, No. of Consumers, Connected Load and Category Wise Revenue for	FY
2014-15	73
Table 5.4: Projected Revenue Gap/(Surplus) for FY 2014-15 with the Existing Tariff	74
Table 5.5: Approved Sales and Category Wise Revenue for FY 2014-15	74
Table 5.6: Approved Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2014-15 with existing Tariff	75
Table 5.7: Consolidated surplus computed for FY 2014-15	75
Table 7.1 Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply for MGVCL	79
Table 7.2: Allocation of ARR between wire and retail supply business for MGVCL for FY 2014-15.	80
Table 7.3: Cross subsidy surcharge for FY 2014-15	82



### **ABBREVIATIONS**

A&G	Administration and General Expenses
ARR	Aggregate Revenue Requirement
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure
CERC	Central Electricity Regulatory Commission
Control Period	FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16
DGVCL	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited
DISCOM	Distribution Company
EA	Electricity Act, 2003
EHV	Extra High Voltage
FPPPA	Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment
FY	Financial Year
GEB	Gujarat Electricity Board
GERC	Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission
GETCO	Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
GFA	Gross Fixed Assets
GoG	Government of Gujarat
GSECL	Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited
GUVNL	Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited
HT	High Tension
JGY	Jyoti Gram Yojna
kV	Kilo Volt
kVA	Kilo Volt Ampere
kVAh	Kilo Volt Ampere Hour
kWh	Kilo Watt Hour
LT	Low Tension Power
MGVCL	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
MTR	Mid-term Review
MU	Million Units (Million kWh)
MW	Mega Watt
MYT	Multi Year Tariff
O&M	Operations & Maintenance
p.a	per annum
PF	Power Factor
PGCIL	Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
PGVCL	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
R&M	Repair and Maintenance
RLDC	Regional Load Despatch Centre
SBI	State Bank of India
SLDC	State Load Despatch Centre
UGVCL	Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited
WRLDC	Western Regional Load Despatch Centre



## Before the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission at Gandhinagar

Case No. 1373/2013

Date of the Order: 29/04/2014

#### **CORAM**

Shri Pravinbhai Patel, Chairman Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member

#### **ORDER**

## 1. Background and Brief History

#### 1.1 Background

The Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (hereinafter referred to as PGVCL, or Petitioner) has filed its petition on 29<sup>th</sup> November 2013 under Section 62 of the Electricity Act, 2003, read in conjunction with the applicable Gujarat Electricity Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2011 for True-up for FY 2012-13, and determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15.

The Commission admitted the petition on 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2013 as Case No. 1373/2013.



#### 1.2 Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)

The Government of Gujarat unbundled and restructured the Gujarat Electricity Board with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2005. The Generation, Transmission and Distribution businesses of the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board were transferred to seven successor companies. The seven successor companies are listed below

**Generation** Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited (GSECL)

**Transmission** Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited (GETCO)

#### **Distribution Companies:**

SI. No.	Name of Company
1	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited (DGVCL)
2	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)
3	Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited (UGVCL)
4	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)

Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (GUVNL), a holding company, is responsible for purchase of electricity from various sources and supply to Distribution Companies and also other activities including trading of electricity.

The Government of Gujarat, vide notification dated 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2006, notified the final opening balance sheets of the transferee companies as on 1st April, 2005. The value of assets and liabilities, which stand transferred from the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board to the transferee companies, include Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL). Assets and liabilities (gross block, loans and equity), as on the date mentioned in the notification, have been considered by the Commission in line with the Financial Restructuring Plan (FRP), as approved by Government of Gujarat.

#### 1.3 Commission's Orders for the second Control period

PGVCL filed its petition under the Multi-Year Tariff Framework for the control period FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16 on 12<sup>th</sup> May, 2011 in accordance with Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2011.



The Commission, in exercise of the powers vested in it under sections 61, 62 and 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and all other powers enabling it on this behalf, and after taking into consideration the submission made by PGVCL, the objections by various stakeholders, response of PGVCL, issues raised during public hearing and all other relevant material, issued the Multi-Year tariff order on 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011 for the control period from FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16 based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.

The Commission issued the orders for truing up for FY 2010-11 and determination of Tariff for FY 2012-13 on 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2012.

The Commission issued the order for truing up for FY 2011-12 and determination for Tariff for FY 2013-14 on 16<sup>th</sup> April 2013.

#### 1.4 Admission of the current petition and public hearing process

PGVCL submitted the current petition for 'truing up' of FY 2012-13 and determination of tariff for FY 2014-15 on 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2013. The Commission admitted the petition (Case No. 1373/2013) on 3<sup>rd</sup> December, 2013.

In accordance with section 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003, the Commission directed PGVCL to publish its application in the abridged form to ensure public participation. The public notice, inviting objections / suggestions from its stakeholders on the petition filed by it, was published in the following newspapers on 11<sup>th</sup> December, 2013.

SI. No.	Name of the Newspaper	Language	Date of Publication
1	Daily News Analysis (DNA)	English	11.12.2013
2	Gujarat Samachar	Gujarati	11.12.2013

The Petitioner has also placed the public notice and the petition on its website for inviting objections and suggestions on the petition. The interested parties / stakeholders were asked to file their objections / suggestions on the petition on or before 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2014.

The Commission received objections / suggestions from 20 stakeholders. The Commission examined the objections / suggestions received and fixed the date for public hearing for the petition on 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2014 at the Commission's Office,



Gandhinagar, and subsequently a communication was sent to the objectors to take part in the public hearing process for presenting their views in person before the Commission. The public hearing was conducted in the Commission's Office in Gandhinagar as scheduled on the above date.

The names of the stakeholders who filed their objections and the objectors who participated in the public hearing for presenting their objections are given below:

SI.	Name of Stakeholders	Participated in the
No.	Name of Stations and	Public Hearing
1.	Rajkot Chamber of Commerce & Industry	Yes
2.	Akhil Bharatiya Grahak Panchayat - Rajkot	Yes
3.	Ultratech Cement Ltd.	Yes
4.	Essar Oil Limited	Yes
5.	Bhavnagar Induction Furnace Association	Yes
6.	Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association	Yes
7.	New Kandla Salt & Chemical Co. P. Ltd.	No
8.	Gujarat Bricks Manufacturer's Federation	Yes
9.	Shri Jayesh Shah Palejwala	No
10.	Laghu Udyog Bharati - Gujarat	Yes
11.	Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS)	Yes
12.	OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd.	Yes
13.	Gujarat Krushi Vij Grahak Suraksha Sangh	Yes
14.	Shri Ganpatbhai Lallubhai Suthar	No
15.	Shri Amarsinh Chavda	No
16.	Yash Complex Co-Operative Housing Service Society Ltd.,	Yes
	Vadodara	165
17.	Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd.	Yes
18.	Utility Users' Welfare Association (UUWA)	Yes
19.	Socialist Unity Centre of India (Communist) [SUCI(C)]	Yes
20.	Indus Towers	Yes

A short note on the main issues raised by the objectors in the submissions in respect to the Petition, along with the response of PGVCL and the Commission's views on the response, are briefly given in Chapter 3.

#### 1.5 Contents of this order

The order is divided into nine chapters:

- 1. The **first** chapter provides a brief background regarding the Petitioner, the petition on hand and details of the public hearing process and the approach adopted in this Order.
- 2. The **second** chapter outlines a summary of PGVCL's submission.



- The third chapter deals with the public hearing process, including the objections raised by various stakeholders, PGVCL's response and Commission's views on the response.
- 4. The **fourth** chapter focuses on the details of truing up for FY 2012-13.
- 5. The **fifth** chapter deals with the determination of tariff for FY 2014-15.
- 6. The sixth chapter deals with the FPPPA charges.
- 7. The **seventh** chapter deals with wheeling charges and cross-subsidy surcharge.
- 8. The **eighth** chapter deals with compliance of directives and issue of fresh directives.
- 9. The **ninth** chapter deals with the tariff philosophy and tariff proposals for FY 2014-15.

#### 1.6 Approach of this Order

The PGVCL has approached the Commission with the present petition for 'Truing up' for the FY 2012-13 and determination of tariff for the FY 2014-15.

The Commission has undertaken truing up for the FY 2012-13, including computation of gains and losses for the FY 2012-13, based on the submissions of the petitioner and the audited annual accounts made available by the petitioner.

While Truing up for FY 2012-13, the Commission has been primarily guided by the following principles:

- Controllable parameters have been considered at the level of approval under the MYT Order, unless the Commission considers that there are valid reasons for revision of the same
- Un-controllable parameters have been revised, based on the actual performance observed.

The Truing up for the FY 2012-13 has been considered, based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. For determination of the ARR for FY 2014-15, the Commission has considered the ARR for FY 2014-15, as approved in the Mid-term Review Order.



## 2. A Summary of PGVCL's Petition

The Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL) submitted the details of True-up for FY 2012-13 and revenue estimates for FY 2014-15 on 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2013.

#### 2.1 Actuals for FY 2012-13 Submitted by PGVCL

The details of expenses under various components of ARR for FY 2012-13 are given in Table 2.1 below:

Table 2.1: Actuals Submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

			(RS. Crore)
SI.	Particulars	Approved	Claimed in
No.		in MYT	truing up
		Order	
1	Cost of Power Purchase	5947	7715
2	Operations & Maintenance Expenses	470	349
2.1	Employee Cost	414	476
2.2	Repair & Maintenance	89	70
2.3	Administrative & General Charges	79	97
2.4	Other Debits	7	4
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0	0
2.6	Less: Net Prior Period Income	0	0
2.7	Less: Other Expenses Capitalised	118	298
3	Depreciation	333	358
4	Interest & Finance Charges	142	238
5	Interest on Working Capital	0	0
6	Provision for Bad Debts	9	74
7	Sub-Total [1 to 6]	6901	8735
8	Return on Equity	226	235
9	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	15	0
10	Total Expenditure (7 to 9)	7142	8,969
11	Less: Non-Tariff Income	142	192
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement (10 - 11)	7000	8777

#### 2.2 Summary of projected revenue gap for FY 2014-15

The Table below summarises the Aggregate revenue Requirement projected in the Mid-term Review of the Business Plan, the total revenue with existing tariff and the proposed gap for FY 2014-15.



Table 2.2: ARR, Revenue and Gap for FY 2014-15

(Rs. Crore)

<b>\'</b>		(1101 0101
SI.	Particulars Particulars	FY 2014-15
No.		
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	10003
2	Revenue Gap from True-up for FY 2012-13	126
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	10129
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	6623
5	FPPPA Charges @ 120 paisa/kWh	2254
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	221
7	Agriculture Subsidy	436
8	Total Revenue including Subsidy (4 to 7)	9535
9	Gap / (Surplus) (3 - 8)	594

The petitioner has proposed no change in tariff structure, except for HTP-I Category. PGVCL has proposed rationalisation in HTP-I, by increase in fixed charges and reduction in energy charges to ensure reasonable recovery of fixed charges.

#### 2.3 PGVCL's request to the Commission:

- 1. To admit this petition seeking True-up for FY 2012-13 and Tariff Petition for FY 2014-15.
- 2. To approve the True-up for FY 2012-13 and allow sharing of gains/losses with the Consumers, as per sharing mechanism, prescribed in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.
- 3. To realign the base FPPPA amount from 61 paisa/kWh to actual (weighted average of Q1 to Q4) FPPPA of FY 2012-13.
- 4. To treat the unrecovered gap, as deemed fit, by the Commission.
- 5. To consider approved parameters/ARR of GSECL, GETCO and SLDC, while finalising the tariff of the petitioner.
- 6. Pass any other order, as the Commission may deem fit and appropriate under the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.
- 7. To grant any other relief as the Commission may consider appropriate. The petitioner craves leave of the Commission to allow further submissions, addition and alteration to this Petition, as may be necessary from time to time.



## 3. Brief outline of objections raised, response from PGVCL and the Commission's View

## 3.0 Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response and Commission's observation

In response to the public notice, inviting objections / suggestions of the stakeholders on the petitions filed by DISCOMs for truing up of FY 2012-13 and determination of tariff for FY 2014-15, a number of consumers / consumer organisations filed their objections / suggestions. Some of these objectors participated in the public hearing also. It is observed that the objections/ suggestions filed, by and large, are repetitive in nature. Some of the objections are general in nature and some are specific to the proposals submitted by the petitioner for approval of True-up for FY 2012-13 and ARR and Tariff revision for FY 2014-15. It is also noted that many of the objections/ suggestions are common to all the four DISCOMs and some are specific to the concerned DISCOM. The objections / suggestions are segregated into two groups viz. common to all DISCOMs and specific to concerned DISCOM. The Commission has, therefore, addressed the objections / suggestions issue-wise rather than objector-wise.

### 3.1 Common Suggestions/objections

#### Issue 1: Cross subsidy reduction

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd have stated that the proposal of the petitioner should have included the status and future action plans for progressive reduction of cross subsidies. The proposal requires rejection with a direction to revise to reflect compliance of EA Act, 2003 and mandates thereunder and also para 34 of Conduct of Business Regulation.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

No Comments.

#### Commission's observation

The Commission determines the charges keeping in view the consumers interest as well as cost of supply.



#### Issue 2: Cost of Supply

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd have stated that the petitioners have not submitted voltage / category-wise cost of supply as envisaged in E. Act, 2003 and Tariff Policy.

The cost of supply has been furnished belated for FY 2011-12 but not for FY 2012-13 and hence the actual picture of cross subsidies is not known.

It has further been submitted that it is not correct to increase the tariff based on the average cost of supply. This is against specific directions / interpretation given by APTEL in its judgement dated 30.05.2011 in Appeal No: 102, 103 and 112 of 2010 that the cross subsidy will be calculated as the difference between the average tariff realisation for that category as per ARR and the cost of supply for the consumer category based on voltage-wise cost of supply.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Cost of supply report for FY 2011-12 was submitted in Nov. 2012 and for FY 2012-13 the report is in the process of finalisation.

#### Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOMs is noted. The DISCOMs have to build up adequate data to workout category-wise cost of supply. Directive is issued to DISCOMs to build up data to arrive at category-wise cost of supply.

## Issue 3: Passing of Agricultural Subsidy burden to Industrial Consumers

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd have stated that there is under-recovery in the case of Agricultural category against substantially high recovery from Industrial consumers and no attempt has been made in the proposal to adjust the tariff to reduce the gap as mandated under EA 2003 and Tariff Policy. Against the cost of supply of Rs. 5.37/unit for Industrial HT, the recovery is Rs 6.08/unit i.e., 13% higher recovery whereas in the case of Agricultural category it is 54% under recovery.

Further Agricultural subsidy from government is far less than what is actually required and the huge unrecovered gap pertaining to Agriculture Category is passed on to the Industrial category. This requires correction. As per cost of supply report of



DGVCL, as against Rs 6.26/ unit for (Industrial) category, only Rs 2.88/ unit is the realisation. It is clear that cross subsidisation level is very high.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

As per the National Tariff Policy there is need to rationalise tariff to various consumer categories so that it is more aligned to cost of supply and in a band of  $\pm$  20% to average cost of supply. In order to ensure uniform tariff rates for all the four Distribution Companies, differential bulk supply tariff mechanism is in place.

For all the DISCOMs taken together the Average realisation of HT category for FY 2012-13, after deducting demand charges paid by Open Access consumers, works out to Rs. 6.22 per kWh, which is 122% of ACS. For FY 2011-12, the average recovery from HT consumers was within the band of + 20%. Whereas for FY 2012-13 it was +24%. The increase in average realisation for HT category in FY 2012-13 is due to non-drawal of energy by some consumer corresponding to their contracted demand from the licensee, but preferred to draw energy from other sources. This trend would continue in FY 2014-15 also as more consumers are opting for Open Access whose fixed cost recovery is artificially increasing the average realisation.

#### Commission's observation

The objection and response of DISCOMs are noted.

#### **Issue 4: Fixed Cost recovery**

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd and OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd have stated that considering the connectivity at 220 kV with contract demand > 2500 KVA, the fixed cost recovery is very high (Rs 3.29/unit with total recovery Rs 8.84/ unit), requires rationalisation. The Proposals are to increase the fixed cost part. In the case of Respondents, the fixed cost recovery is far higher as they rarely use DGVCL power and generate its own power from CPP.

Based on the power bill during April to December '13 from DGVCL, the average fixed cost rate worked out to Rs 26/unit which is extremely exorbitant / irrational and cannot be justified.



#### Response of DISCOMs

Under the two part tariff mechanism, average realisation per unit varies with load factor of the consumers. For consumers having high load factor per unit rate of realisation is less compared to those having low load factor.

Regarding increase in demand charges, the fixed costs are recovered through fixed charges and a part of fixed cost is recovered through energy charges. As a part of rationalisation, demand charges are increased in HTP-I category. Even with the proposed increase in demand charges of HTP-I consumers, only 60.47% fixed cost attributable to HT consumers is recovered and the balance 39.53% is being recovered through energy charges. In case of consumers who do not procure power from DISCOM, the uncovered 39.53% of fixed cost is a burden on consumers in general, as the licensee has to maintain the network and power supply corresponding to contract demand irrespective of actual drawal. The DISCOM has proposed not only increase in the demand charges but also reduction in energy charges correspondingly.

#### Commission's observation

The objection and response of DISCOMs are noted.

#### Issue 5: Discouragement to Open Access users

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd and OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd have stated the proposal of the petitioners is to discourage Open Access users from selling/buying power from sources other than utilities/DISCOMs after attempts like

- (i) Deemed Open Access separate category tariff;
- (ii) Imposing unlawful and arbitrary conditions;
- (iii) Demanding additional surcharges
- (iv) Restricting Open Access to contract demand;
- (v) Denial of NOC consent; and
- (vi) Arbitrary misinterpretation of commission's orders for minimum "Scheduling of MW" of power to drawal of IMW at consumers end/bus etc.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

The replies to the above points are as under:



- (i) No such separate tariff is proposed
- (ii) The undertaking is as per the orders of the Commission
- (iii) Additional charge is leviable as per see 42(4) of E A 2003
- (iv) Short-Term Open Access is allowed within the available margin in the network
- (v) Essence of "undertaking" is to be followed
- (vi) Commission has already decided and issued order for scheduling and drawal of load by Open Access consumers in its order in petitions nos. 1325 and 1327/ 2013.

Distribution losses as approved by the Commission from consumers of different voltage classes is applied to respective Open Access consumers.

#### Commission's observation

The Commission has noted the response of DISCOMs

#### Issue 6: Tariff for start-up power

(a) M/s Grasim Industries Ltd and Federation of Gujarat Industries have stated that the petitioner suggested for a specific clarification / direction from the Commission for billing based on per day pro-rata contract Demand charges to be levied under the HTP-III when availed by CPP and / or a generator for startup power requirement / purpose.

The objectors suggested that pending such tariff to be decided by the Commission, a clarification/ direction for billing under HTP-III category based on contract demand charges on per day basis for the number of days such power might be drawn / consumed / used by a CPP/ Cogen plant for the start-up purpose would be most appropriate and befitting to the provisions of EA, 2003 as well as National Tariff policy.

The objectors have also stated that in view of the EA, 2003, the National Tariff Policy and in particular the contention of the APTEL in Appeal No: 8 of 2010, the demand charges recovery on prorate basis be limited to the number of hours or say maximum up to the number of days of actual usage of the start-up power.



(b) OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that there is no separate tariff for drawal of start-up power by conventional power plants and requested for determination of tariff for start-up power.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

- (a) The generator requires power for start-up at any point of time and the licensee has to maintain the network and power supply all the time to meet with the eventually and cost incidental thereto. Therefore generator has to maintain contract demand to the extent of his requirement and pay charges as a consumer.
- (b) The LT-Temp Tariff Category is applicable to services of electricity supply for temporary period at low voltage. For HT consumers, temporary connection is available under HTP-III category. Consumers who want power for specific time period can avail connection under HTP-III category and charges will be applicable as per tariff schedule applicable from time to time.

#### Commission's observation

The response of the Petitioner is noted and appropriate decision taken.

#### Issue 7: Installation of suite meters, restoration of supply etc.

Shri Jayesh Shah Palejwala has suggested that:

- (a) Installation of suite meters at rented units through one master / principal meter and the DISCOMs charge only on principal meters consumption.
- (b) In case of consumers booked under section 135 they should not be forced to pay compounding charges but to be allowed to pay only the charges as per supplementary bill excluding compounding charges and the connection should be restored on payment.
- (c) The licensee, who has not installed energy meters on distribution transforms should be punished.
- (d) Divisional and sub-divisional engineers should not be kept busy with court cases as consumers are put to hardship.

#### Response of DISCOMs

The response of the DISCOMS on the above issues are as follows:



- (a) Under the guise of the suite meter, the consumer may allow supply to another consumer / tenant which tantamount to distribution of electricity which is a licensed activity. Hence the request cannot be accepted.
- (b) Regarding restoration of supply of the consumer booked under section 135 of the Act, 2003, the related provisions of the Act and Regulations notified by the Commission have to be followed.
- (c) Status as on 31.12.2013 has been submitted by the DISCOMs for installation meters at distribution transformer level. Out of 75678 transformers, DTC meters are provided on 31119 transformers and 18367 transformers are HVDC. So total DTC meters including HVDC are 49486 nos. i.e., 65.39%.
- (d) Employees are required to function as per rules and regulation, statute etc. in force.

#### Commission's observation

The Commission agrees with the response of DISCOMs. However, the DISCOMs shall complete metering at the distribution transformers expeditiously.

## Issue 8: Revision of True-up proposal for FY 2013-14 and questioning of Tariff proposals for FY 2014-15

Laghu Udyog Bharati have suggested as follows:

- (a) The objector has pointed out various mismatches between the figures of ARR petition, Mid-term petition and Annual Accounts.
- (b) Capex: Non-Submission of details of accounts of equity and debt infusion for capex.
- (c) Electricity Sale Cost Validation: The Commission may constitute a mechanism or depute an independent agency/ person to validate the sales data furnished by DISCOMs. The real cost of electricity sale increases due to minimum charges, power factor penalty and demand penalty.
- (d) Charging of T&D losses for Open Access business and power inter change: The accounts of receipts of cross subsidy and recovery of line losses from Open Access consumers is not available in the true-up proposals. Similarly GUVNL is not giving credit of Open Access cost of line losses to DISCOMs and details in this regard are also not available.
- (e) Cost of Ag units: The subsidy as per tariff received is Rs. 35 Cr., FPPPA received is Rs. 77.234 Cr. The receipt for unit of Ag consumption (DGVCL) is Rs



- 45.844 Cr. It has to be explained where the amount for Rs 45.844 Cr. is adjusted. The D-4 forms of Agriculture per unit cost do not give correct pictures.
- (f) Power Sale / Purchase data of ARR: Table D-1 of petition shows cost of power purchase of 18321 MU at the rate of Rs 3.72/unit amounting to Rs 5985 Cr., Table 9 of petition shows energy sales of 14816 MU at the cost of Rs 5818 Cr. and Table 11 of the petition shows net sale of energy requirement of 18321 MU. There is no mention of sale of power to GUVNL and UI interchange which is reflected in Annual accounts note 21 amounting to Rs 813.46 Cr. + Rs 145.22 Cr. With this figure, net ARR surplus for FY 2012-13 shall be Rs (2172.3 +813.46+145.22) 3130.98 Cr.

The Commission is requested to implement an independent system to validate technical as well as financial data of DISCOMs and not solely rely on their submission.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

- (a) Company proposes its aggregate revenue requirement along with proposed tariff for particular year and after completion of financial year, the true-up petition is required to be filed in which actual / normative cost along with actual revenue and with the resultant revenue gap. After due scrutiny and prudence check the Commission approves the gap, if any.
- (b) Funding of capital expenditure is done through various sources categorised under headings viz. consumer contribution, grants, equity and grants from the CAPEX and the remaining Capex is funded in the debt equity ratio of 70:30.
- (c) Revenue projections are based on the assumption that there shall be no recovery of penal charges.
- (d) Charging of T&D losses is the matter of energy accounting. Cross subsidy surcharge is considered under the head 'revenue' for sale of power.
- (e) Objector has considered only fixed charges and subsidy amount while it has not considered energy charges and FPPPA charges.
- (f) For the purpose of calculating power purchase cost for retail supply to consumers, the revenue from power sale to GUVNL and UI are deducted.



#### Commission's observation

The Commission has conducted detailed analysis of the components of ARR filed in the petition after due validation and prudence check and decisions are taken as per provisions in GERC Regulations.

#### Issue 9: Distribution Losses

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) objected to the increase in distribution losses which had increased for all DISCOMs putting an additional burden of Rs 372 Cr. on consumers.

Distribution losses being controllable factor a burden of Rs 124 Cr. is transferred on consumers of Gujarat. The objector demanded that the Commission should impose penalty on DISCOMs if the target is not achieved and the amount of penalty should be recovered from each of the DISCOMs.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

DISCOMs take various steps for reduction of distribution loss (both technical and commercial) and ensure loss reduction trajectory as approved by the Commission and at the end of the control period it shall try to achieve approved distribution loss level.

The Commission has approved distribution loss trajectory for the entire MYT control period from FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16. In the petition for Mid-term review of Business plan, the company proposed trajectory for distribution losses for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16. Distribution losses being controllable factor, the company has given appropriate treatment to the deviation from the approved loss level in the true-up petition for FY 2012-13.

#### Commission's observation

The Commission has fixed distribution loss level trajectory for each DISCOM and the energy requirement/power purchase is regulated to the loss level approved by the Commission.

#### Issue 10: Tariff Revision for HTP-I

The Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cements Ltd and OPGS Power Gujarat Power Private Ltd have stated that the DISCOMs have proposed to increase demand charges from Rs. 350/kVA to Rs 430/kVA i.e. by 23% and decrease in



energy charges by 20 paise per unit for the HTP-I category. If the demand charges are set at a higher level, the energy conservation measures as envisaged in Tariff Policy would not materialise as there will be lesser incentive to consumers in reducing energy consumption. Further any decrease in energy charges, the proposed tariff is unfavourable to consumers who are having a lower consumption as they are paying higher demand charge disproportionate to energy consumption whereas consumers having higher consumption will be benefitted from lower energy charges. The Commission is therefore requested not to allow any increase in demand charges and any decrease in the energy charges for the HTP-I category. Further considering that the true-up of ARR is being made on a regular basis, it is not clear as to how the licensee will suffer an under recovery of its legitimate costs due to tariff structure because all the legitimate costs are passed on to consumers

#### Response of DISCOMs

through tariff determination.

In the present tariff structure only a part of the fixed cost is recovered through energy charges and as a part of rationalisation, demand charges are increased in HTP-I category. Even if the proposed increase in demand charges of HTP-I consumers is recovered, the remaining 39.53% is still being recovered through energy charges, In case of consumers who are not taking power from DISCOM, the unrecovered 39.53% of fixed cost, otherwise payable by such consumers is a burden to all other consumers.

Further under the two part tariff mechanism, average per unit realisation varies with the load factor of the consumer. For consumers having high load factor, per unit rate of realisation is less as compared to those having low load factor.

#### Commission's observation

The objection and the response from the DISCOMs are noted. The Commission will examine the issue and take appropriate decision.

## Issue 11: Consideration of transmission charges for determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge

OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that when a consumer opts for Open Access, the distribution licensee avoids payment of transmission charges for the energy consumed by him, especially in the surplus power scenario as claimed by the



licensee. However transmission charges shall be considered as part of avoided cost while determining cross subsidy surcharge.

The transmission charges being an integral part of the licensee's cost of supply, the same has to be considered while calculating Cross Subsidy Surcharge. If the transmission charges are not made part of power purchase cost, the same has to be considered as part of wheeling charges.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

The Commission determines cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with provision of National Tariff Policy.

#### Commission's observation

For determining the cross subsidy surcharge for Open Access consumers, the Commission is guided by the provision in section 42 (2) of the Act and guidelines provided in clause 8.5 of the Tariff Policy.

#### Issue 12: Bad Debts

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has suggested that the Commission should direct the DISCOMs to submit names of defaulters whose amount exceeds Rs 1.00 Lakh and also to publish their names in local newspapers and to submit all details on action taken against each defaulter. Till that time, the proposed amount of Rs 120 Cr. should not be approved.

#### Response of DISCOMs

The Companies are taking various actions for recovery of arrears as under:

- Disconnections
- Recovery through Civil Courts
- Arranging Lok Adalat etc.

After disconnection, if the consumer does not turn up for making payment the connection is permanently disconnected (PDC):

Every year certain amount of some consumers, which seems to be non-recoverable is waived by the company and is charged to P&L of the company under the head "other debits" for the respective year and the same is proposed for recovery in True-up petition as "controllable" in line with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.



The companies have submitted the details of consumers who are in arrears of over Rs 1.00 lac and above as on 31.12.2013 in response to the objection.

#### Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

#### Issue 13: Losses in JGY Scheme

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) objected to heavy losses in JGY scheme which were not reduced in spite of directives from the Commission and which are putting heavy burden on consumers of Gujarat. The objector has requested the Commission to direct all DISCOMs to reduce losses by 20% by 31.3.2015, as the losses are controllable and the burden of 33% should not be transferred to consumers due to inefficiency of DISCOMs.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Various steps are taken to reduce losses in JGY categories, such as maintenance of HT line, LT line, Transformer, XLPE conductor, Aerial Bunch Conductor, Insulated Conductor, Installation checking, meter replacement, Installation sealing, Installation of meter boxes, feeder bifurcation, Panel meter testing and installation of Amorphous transformer. Due to these steps, the losses on JGY Feeders have been reduced in FY 2012-13 compared to FY 2011-12. The companies have given appropriate treatment to the deviation from the approved loss level in the true-up for FY 2012-13.

#### Commission's observation

The loss level in JGY feeders is still high. The Commission has given directive to all the DISCOMs to reduce the losses to acceptable level.

#### **Issue 14: Meter Problems**

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has stated that till date more than 40% of Ag connections are unmetered and more than 2 lac meters are not working in Gujarat. The Commission is requested to direct all DISCOMs to provide details of metered and unmetered agriculture connection, meters not working in respect of each DISCOM and the reasons for not changing non-working meters.

The objector has also observed that due to financial constraints all DISCOMs are not having stock of new meters and bills are issued on average consumption based on consumer's connected load.



#### Response of DISCOMs

The Companies have large base of old consumers and are prioritising the replacement of non-working, defective, inaccurate and very old meters. The companies have meter replacement plan and accordingly meters are replaced every year and this work is closely monitored and field officers are instructed to ensure that non-working and defective meters of 3 phase are replaced in 2-3 days and single phase meters in the same month.

#### Commission's observation

As already directed the DISCOMs shall promptly replace the defective meters.

#### Issue 15: Controllable and Uncontrollable factors

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has observed that there is hardly any gain to consumers due to controllable and uncontrollable factors except for MGVCL which has gained in both controllable and uncontrollable factors. The objector has therefore suggested that the burden of uncontrollable factors should be equally shared by DISCOMs and consumers instead of transferring the entire burden on consumers.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Expenses have been categorised as controllable and uncontrollable as per the nature of expenditure and provision of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. Accordingly true-up petition is filed.

#### Commission's observation

The expenses under uncontrollable factor such as cost of power etc. are beyond the control of the DISCOM and is a pass-through.

#### Issue 16: Increase in base price of FPPPA

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) objected for abrupt increase in FPPPA charges levied by four DISCOMs from 61 paise / unit to Rs 1.20 paise and thereby the base of FPPPA charge is increased by almost 33%, which includes FPPPA charges of Rs 169.69 Cr. collected vide FPPPA charges due to receipt of inferior quality of coal. Another reason for high FPPPA charges is purchase of power from IPPs and payment of fixed cost to IPPs having gas based generation. The objector therefore requested the Commission to reject the proposal for increase in FPPPA charges from 61 paise/unit to 120 paise/unit.



#### Response of DISCOMs

The Commission has issued order on 6.9.2011 in which power purchase cost was calculated based on actual Power Purchase Cost (PPC) for 2009-10. In Mid-term review of business plan for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16, while calculating PPC, base is shifted from FY 2009-10 to FY 2012-13. During FY 2012-13, weighted average of FPPPA recovered was Rs 1.20/unit and hence the same is proposed to be freeze.

#### Commission's observation

Shifting / Freezing of base FPPPA rate shall not affect the FPPPA calculations. Any reduction in Power Purchase cost may reduce the FPPPA charge to a lower figure and the same shall be passed on to consumers.

#### Issue 17: Burden of Rs 1816 Cr. on consumers

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has stated that in spite of deficit of Rs 1816 Cr. by all four petitioners during 2014-15, no increase in tariff is proposed, hence the Commission should not Suo Motu increase the tariff rates.

The objector has also stated that it has filed PIL before Gujarat High Court under WP PIL No. 147/2012, the Commission should refrain from increasing tariff, since the matter is sub-judice.

#### Response of DISCOMs

As per provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, the DISCOMs are required to file true-up for FY 2012-13 and tariff proposal for FY 2014-15. Accordingly petitions are filed with the Commission with a request to address the resultant gap suitably.

#### **Commission observation**

The Commission has taken appropriate decision based on the analysis of the ARR and Prudence check of various expenses.

#### Issue 18: Different tariff for each DISCOM

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has suggested for implementation of different tariff for each DISCOM and also for performance Based Tariff. The objector has stated that it is not correct to compare to performance of PGVCL with MGVCL and also why the consumers of MGVCL should pay high tariff for poor performance of PGVCL.



The objector further stated that the distribution loss of PGVCL is 30% compared to other three DISCOMs where losses are below 15% and separate tariff for each DISCOM will make them accountable for their performance and also generate competition.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Uniform retail supply tariff for all four DISCOMs has been envisaged so that the consumers in similar categories in the State could have similar tariff without discrimination as envisaged in EA, 2003. Since 85% to 93% of total cost incurred by DISCOMs is for power purchase, it plays a major role in determining the ARR as well as gap / surplus for the DISCOM in a particular year. Further as the consumer and consumption profiles are different in the four DISCOMs, the revenue earning capacities of DISCOMs differ resulting different ARRs. It is therefore necessary to build a mechanism in projections to bring them to a level-playing field. This is proposed to be achieved by differential Bulk Supply Tariff (BST) to each DISCOM which is approved by the Commission.

#### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOMs is noted.

#### Issue 19: Agricultural Consumption

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has stated that more than 40% of Ag connections are unmetered and this consumption is accounted for in distribution losses and ultimately transferred to consumers. The objector requested the Commission to direct the DISCOMs to submit separate details of amount recovered from metered and unmetered consumers.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

The DISCOMs have submitted the details of revenue from metered and unmetered Ag consumers up to Nov. 2013.

#### Commission's observation

Since August 2000 DISCOMs have stopped giving unmetered connections for Agriculture services. The balance unmetered services are to be metered within definite timeframe.



# Issue 20: Determination of cross subsidy surcharge while determining power purchase cost for plants running on natural gas / RING, trading margin of GUVNL, transmission charges etc.

The OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that while calculating the weighted average power purchase cost of top 5% at the margin, the Commission did not consider the cost of power purchase from plants running on natural gas/ RLNG. The Commission has taken the purchase of power from Essar at the average cost of Rs 4.91 / kWh as the costliest source of power. However there were more costly power purchases such as that from Essar-300 MW, GSEG-156 MW, GPEC-655 MW, GSPC-Pipavav, GSEG expansion etc. and those plants appear in the merit order of SLDC. No reason was mentioned in Tariff orders as to why these plants were excluded for determining CSS.

The objector requested to consider the determination of cross subsidy surcharge as follows:

- (i) Cost of power purchase from plants running on natural gas/ RLNG also while calculating the weighted average power purchase cost of top 5% at the margin
- (ii) The 4 paise/kWh charged by GUVNL; and
- (iii) Transmission charges;

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

The Commission determines cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with the provision of National Tariff Policy.

#### Commission's observation

The Power Purchase from plants running on spot gas/ RLNG are similarly placed with Liquid fuel fired station and hence excluded in accordance with the Policy guideline. Hence the power purchase from such stations is not considered under 5% at the margin.

## Issue 21: Calculation of Average tariff 'T' for determination of cross subsidy surcharge

OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that while calculating average tariff 'T' for determination of cross subsidy surcharge, a distinction has to be made between Open Access customers who have surrendered their contract demand and the Open Access customers who continue to maintain their contract demand, since in the latter



case, there is no loss of demand charges on account of their opting for Open Access. Therefore in the case of Open Access consumers who have not surrendered their contract demand, 'T' may be calculated as average applicable energy charges only.

#### **Response of DISCOM**

The Commission determines cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with the provisions of National Tariff Policy.

#### Commission's observation

'T' being the average tariff payable by the relevant category is considered for the consumer categories in general as per Tariff Policy.

#### **Issue 22: Additional Surcharge**

The OPGS Power Gujarat Power Limited has stated that currently the Open Access consumers are paying a wheeling charge of 12 paise/kWh and cross subsidy of 45 paise/kWh. The additional surcharge, proposed by DISCOMs will be in addition to these charges and will cause substantial financial burden on the Open Access consumers. The objector has requested the Commission to determine the Open Access charges i.e., Cross Subsidy Surcharge (CSS), Wheeling charge and additional surcharge in such a way that the Open Access consumers are not unduly burdened due to these charges.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

No comments

#### Commission's observation

Additional surcharge has to be paid by the consumers who avail Open Access as per section 42(4) at the Electricity Act 2003 to be determined by the Commission as per Regulations.

## Issue 23: Inclusion of Trading Margin of GUVNL in power purchase cost for determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge for FY 2014-15

The OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that GUVNL charges 4 paise from DISCOMs for transaction of every unit of energy and this component of power purchase cost is approved by the Commission. Hence while calculating the weighted average cost of power purchase of top 5% at the margin for the determination of



CSS for FY 2014-15, the 4 paise/unit charged by GUVNL shall also be added as it is part of power purchase cost of DISCOMs.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

The Commission determines the cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with the provision of National Tariff Policy.

#### Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

#### 3.2 Suggestions/objections pertaining to PGVCL

#### Issue 1: CAG Audit

M/s Bhavnagar Industries Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have demanded CAG Audit for the DISCOM as the companies are asking for increase in the tariff rates with presenting the accounts with major head and details of all expenses by showing losses.

#### **Response of DISCOM**

PGVCL being a state owned Government Company the financial accounts of the company are always audited by CAG every year.

#### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOM is noted.

#### Issue 2: Levy of Electricity duty as demand charges

M/s Bhavnagar Induction Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have stated that electricity duty is levied on sale of electricity and there is no logic in levying electricity duty on demand charges which are not sale charge.

#### Response of DISCOM

Electricity Duty is levied as per provision of Gujarat Electricity Duty Act, 1958 and amendments therein.

#### Commission's observation

The Electricity Duty is prerogative of the State Government and the office of the Collector of Electricity Duty is the authority to clarify such issues, the Commission has no jurisdiction in this matter.



#### Issue 3: Night Charge benefit as entire consumption

M/s Bhavnagar Induction Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have stated that a unit which is running 24 hours with same load has to shell out 35 or 75 paise per unit for 8 hours of its operation under peak hours but at the same time it will not get single paise benefit for the unit use in night hours from 10:00 pm to 6:00 am next day.

If DISCOMs are getting costlier electricity rates in peak hours than normal, it is also a fact that power is cheaper during night. So the night energy benefit should be extended to all units consumed during night hour.

#### Response of DISCOM

There is a special category for HT consumers for utilisation of power exclusively during night hours having reduced energy charge. The objective of giving night benefit to the consumer is to shift their demand to off peak hours and thereby help the grid as well as to flatten the demand curve of the utility. But in the case of consumers who are otherwise of continuous nature or as a part of their process, the power consumed during night hours cannot be considered to have made additional effort to shift the load from peak hours. Hence the night hour's concession is given on energy consumption during night hours in excess of 1/3 of the total energy consumption of particular month.

#### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOM is noted.

#### Issue 4: Definition of peak hour to be changed

M/s Bhavnagar Induction Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have stated that the Commission, in a recent petition for reduction of demand charges in HTP 4 category (1338 of 2013), stated that the demand curve is almost flat for the day and the peak hours are no more relevant in this era of power trading and that the morning peak hour is actually rising demand time and the evening time peak is obsolete concept. The power demand remains steady during the whole evening and so the peak hour's definition should be changed with immediate effect based on real time data base available on website of SLDC.



### Response of DISCOM

Cost of supplying power at peak hours is significantly higher and network requirement for peak hour supply is also high and hence the tariff structure is devised recognising this fact and recovery at higher rates for peak hour use is allowed.

### Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOM is noted.

# Issue 5: Restoring PF incentive at 1% instead of 0.5%

M/s Bhavnagar Induction Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have stated that the PF rebate is given at 0.5% of energy charges for maintaining PF above 0.95 and no rebate is given for maintaining PF between 0.90 and 0.95. Also penalty at 1% of energy bill is levied if the PF is between 0.85 to 0.90 and at the rate of 2% if the PF is less than 0.85.

The rebate of 1% of energy charges should be restored and the penalty should be reduced.

### **Response of DISCOM**

The PF incentive rate of 0.5% is fixed by the Commission in Review Petition No: 1, 2 & 3 of 2007 filed by Western Railway after a lot of discussion and deliberation on both sides.

#### Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOM is noted.

# Issue 6: Decrease in R&M expenses and increase in employee and A&G expenses

M/s Bhavnagar Induction Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have stated that:

- (i) In all MYT proposals the expense on employee cost is always more than the allocated. GERC should take steps to curb this.
- (ii) The repair and maintenance cost is always less than the allocation.
- (iii) The A&G expenses are always higher than the allocation.



### Response of DISCOM

During FY 2012-13, PGVCL spent more than Rs. 1300 Cr. as capital expenditure under various heads. PGVCL has adopted HVDS for releasing new Agricultural Connections since 2009-10 and also spent Rs. 75 Cr. under system improvements in FY 2012-13 and more than Rs. 60 Cr. for renovation activities. These activities helped in strengthening the distribution system resulting in reduction in R&M expenses.

The growth rate of consumers is more than 5%. The company has an ambitious capex plan and since last two years more than Rs. 1000 Cr. has been spent. All these activities necessitated corresponding increase in employee and A&G expenses.

### Commission's observation

The O&M expenses which include employee cost, R&M and A&G expenses are controllable items and are approved by the Commission as per Regulations after due prudence check.

# Issue 7: Reduction in tariff for HT industrial consumers category and special all inclusive consumption tariff for EOL

Essar Oil Limited (EOL) has stated that as per the cost of supply report, the cost of supply for industrial HT category is Rs. 4.32/kWh, while the average realisation is Rs. 5.83/kWh, which is more than cost of service. But PGVCL has proposed to increase the demand charges of HT consumers. It is requested that:

- (i) Not to implement proposed hike but to consider reducing the tariff for industrial HT consumer category in line with the cost of supply (Rs 4.32/kWh); and
- (ii) EOL in using PGVCL power only and during rare events of CPP tripping and that too for short duration of 15 to 30 minutes only, EOL would like to suggest for implementing a special optional tariff for consumers like EOL with all inclusive consumption charge payable for actual power drawn without obligation to maintain a specific contract demand and pay the contract demand charges.

### **Response of DISCOM**

For FY 2011-12, the average recovery from HT consumers was within the band of +20% whereas for FY 2012-13 it was +24%. The increase in average realisation for



HT category for FY 2012-13 is due to consumers who have not drawn energy corresponding to their contract demand from the licensee but preferred to draw from other sources. This trend would continue for FY 2014-15 also as more and more consumers are going for Open Access whose fixed cost recovery is artificially increasing the average realisation.

With the present tariff structure part of fixed cost is recovered through energy charges. As part of rationalisation, demand charges are increased for HTP-I category and even with the proposed increase only 60.47% of fixed cost is recovered through demand charges and the remaining 39.53% is being recovered through energy charges. The uncovered 39.53% of fixed cost, otherwise payable by such consumers, is a burden on general body of consumers. Further the licensee has to maintain network and supply corresponding to the contract demand irrespective of actual drawal.

Under two part tariff mechanism, the average realisation per unit varies with the load factor of the consumer. For consumers having high load factor per unit of realisation is less as compared to those having low load factor.

### Commission's observation

The objection /suggestion and the response of PGVCL are noted. The Commission will take appropriate decision on issue raised.

# Issue 8: Reduction in energy charges

Rajkot chamber of commerce has stated that energy charges are reduced by 4 to 5% Since DISCOMs have provision for revising the FPPPA charges, the same may get recovered under the FPPPA revisions and such downward revision in energy change may prove to be an eye wash.

### Response of DISCOM

The basic nature of FPPPA is 'adjustment' related to power purchase cost i.e., passing on increase or decrease, as the case may be. The FPPPA charge is being levied on account of change in the cost of power purchase, which comprises 80 to 90% of ARR. Any expense relating to regulated business has to be recovered from all consumers and hence FPPPA charges are recovered in the form of an incremental energy charge (Rs/kWh) as per approved formula.



### Commission's observation

Power Purchase cost is beyond the control of the DISCOM. Any increase or decrease in the Power Purchase cost is passed on to the consumers.

# Issue 9: Levy of TOU charges on full consumption

Akhil Bharatiya Grahak Panchayat, Rajkot has stated that:

- (i) TOU charges are an additional burden on HT consumers
- (ii) The night time concession is given on consumption in excess of 1/3 of the total energy consumed during the month and not on whole consumption. But TOU charges are levied on the full consumption of that time; and
- (iii) During 2003 to 2013, the DISCOMs have not fulfilled the directives on reducing line losses by 20%.

(iv)

# **Response of DISCOM**

On the above issues, PGVCL has stated as under:

- TOU charges are levied only on those consumers who consume power during stipulated hours;
- (ii) The objective of giving night benefits is to shift their demand to off peak hours and thereby help the grid as well as to flatten the demand curve of the utility. The consumers who are otherwise of continuous nature or as a part of their process, they consume power during night hours cannot be considered to have made additional efforts to shift the load from peak hours. Hence, night hour's concession is given on the energy consumption during night hours in excess of 1/3 of the total consumption of a particular month.
- (iii) Detailed compliance of the directions of the commission has been submitted in the petition.

### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOM is noted.

# Issue 10: Hike in transmission charges and recovery of cross subsidy

Rajkot chamber of commerce and Industry and Essar Oil Ltd have stated that

1. The proposed hike in the transmission charges by more than 20% is badly affecting Open Access users.



 There is huge unrecovered cross subsidy amounting to hundreds of Crore required to be passed on to Agriculture consumers or to be recovered from GoG but being passed on to industrial consumers and this is against EA 2003 and Tariff Policy.

# **Response of DISCOM**

In order to ensure uniform tariff rates for all four DISCOMs, differential bulk supply tariff is in place. Average realisation of HT category for FY 2012-13 after deducting demand charges works out to Rs. 6.22 per kWh and 122% of ACS.

For FY 2011-12 average recovery from HT consumers was within the band of +20% whereas for FY 2012-13 it was +24%, which was attributable to the consumers who have not drawn energy corresponding to their contract demand from the licensee and preferred to draw from the sources. This trend would continue for FY 2014-15 also as more and more consumers are going for Open Access whose fixed cost recovery is artificially the average realisation.

### Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOM is noted.

### **Issue 11: Increase in Demand Charges**

Rajkot chamber of Commerce has stated that the petitioner proposed to increase contract demand charges by about 25% to 39% for all slabs in industrial category without any effect on other categories. Similar hike was also proposed in fixed cost to be imposed on industrial consumers and increasing the cross subsidy from Rs. 0.39 to Rs. 0.45 / unit for Open Access users.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Under the present tariff structure, part of fixed cost is recovered through energy charges and as a part of rationalisation, demand charges are proposed to be increased for HTP-I category and decrease in energy charges. With the proposed increase, only 60.47% of fixed cost attributable to HT consumers is recovered through demand charges and the remaining 39.53% is being recovered through energy charges.



In the case of consumers who do not avail power from DISCOM corresponding to their contracted demand, the unrecovered 39.53% of fixed cost is a burden to consumers in general.

The licensee has to maintain the network and power supply corresponding to contract demand irrespective of actual drawal. Hence it is proposed to increase demand charges by reducing energy charges.

### Commission's observation

The issue raised by the objector and the response of DISCOM are noted.

# Issue 12: Concept of demand change, energy change and fuel cost adjustment change

M/s Bhavnagar Industries Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have stated that the distribution companies are making the consumers to pay more under the disguise of demand charges, energy charges, fuel cost adjustment charges and time of use charges and are also increasing the rates of electricity for consumers of Gujarat and in particular HT Industrial category. The per unit charge had reached to Rs. 7.50, an increase of 50% within a span of 3 years. There should be only two part tariff demand change and energy change and all other bifurcation should be removed.

### Response of DISCOM

It is a basic commercial principle to recover the fixed costs through recovery of fixed charges and variable cost from variable charges i.e., energy charges. Therefore the present tariff structure con

FPPPA is an adjustment related to power purchase cost and is being levied on account of change in the cost of power purchase which covers 80 to 90% of ARR. Any expense pertaining to regulated business has to be recovered from all consumers in the same manner. Therefore the FPPPA charges are recovered in the form of an incremental energy charge (Rs/kWh) as per formulae approved by the Commission.

### **Commission observation**

The tariff contains only demand & energy charges. FPPPA is incremental cost of power on which the DISCOM has no control, this is to be recovered from consumers



as per formula approved by the Commission. Time of use charges are levied to shift the demand during peak hours.

# Issue 13: Fuel Cost Adjustment (FCA) charges

Gondal Chamber of Commerce and Industries has stated that the Commission and petitioner never cared to give rebate or refund or credit to the consumers who bear the economic burden relating to fuel cost variation.

### **Response of PGVCL**

The FPPPA charge is being levied on the consumer categories on account of change in the cost of power purchase which comprises 80 to 90% of the ARR. Any expense relating to the regulated business has to recovered from all consumers and therefore FPPPA charges are recovered in the form of incremental energy charge (Rs/kWh) as per formula approved by the Commission.

### Commission's observation

If there is any reduction in Fuel/Power purchase cost, the benefit is passed on to the consumers.

# Issue 14: Revision of tariff with retrospective effect

Gondal Chamber of Commerce and Industry has stated that the Commission is empowered to revise tariff by a reasonable increase for various reasons but not with retrospective effect. For this purpose it is not necessary to observe petition procedure.

### **Response of DISCOM**

As per provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, each DISCOM has to file separate petition for approval of ARR and determination of tariff. Hence, the distribution licensee is filing the petition since it has commenced commercial operation with effect from 1.4.2005

# Commission's observation

The Commission is trying to issue the tariff order in time so as the revised tariffs are effective from the 1<sup>st</sup> April of the year.



### Issue 15: Classification on new condition for HTP-IV consumer

M/s Bhavnagar Industries Furnace Association and M/s Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills have stated that a new condition has been proposed to be added for HTP-IV consumer regarding non-fulfilment of condition for more than 3 times in a financial year during a span of 6 months and for rest of the year he has to opt for HTP-I tariff. If the limit of demand is crossed marginally, a heavy penalty is levied on the consumer. This is against natural justice and hence to be withdrawn.

Further the condition of HTP-IV requires the following clarification:

- (i) As per condition (i) of HTP-IV tariff, the simultaneous increase in demand as well as unit will lead to the conclusion that the consumer had used the power for manufacturing during day time and so, only increase in demand or units should not be considered as violation of condition.
- (ii) Single accidental violation of demand draws more than huge penalty for HTP-IV consumer. So it is requested that until it is proved that the power is used for production purpose for at least half an hour, no penalty should be levied.
- (iii) Maximum demand of the day should be considered considering at least three readings for the same.

### Response of DISCOM

Rationale behind the proposed condition for HTP-IV consumers is to ensure better power supply management and to maintain grid discipline. It is required that the HTP-IV consumers follow the stipulation without any deviation

### Commission's observation

The Commission will take a view after prudence check.

# Issue 16: Accreditation of meter testing laboratories

New Kandla Salt & Chemical Company Private Limited has stated that the energy meter testing laboratories of PGVCL are not accredited by the National Accreditation Board of Testing and Calibration Laboratories (NABL), GoG and therefore testing results of energy meter by the existing laboratories cannot be considered as authentic.

All the four DISCOM may be advised to get accreditation from NABL within a specific period.



### Response of DISCOM

PGVCL has set up 41 Nos. of meter testing laboratories and procures static meters only. Meter suppliers provide body seals on the meter while supplying to company. In turn, meters are checked in meter testing laboratory with "Reference Standard Meter". Reference standard meters are tested at NABL accredited laboratory, generally ERDA. Therefore meter testing at our laboratory is carried out with reference meter which is tested at NABL accredited laboratory. PGVCL has further confirmed that they have an NABL accredited meter testing laboratory at Rajkot.

### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOM is noted.

# Issue 17: Reduction of demand charges for HTP-IV consumers of steel industry

Bhavnagar Induction Furnace Association and Sihor Steel Rerolling Mills Association have requested for reduction in contract demand of HTP-IV consumers to 1/3 of the normal contract demand for HTP-I consumers as the recession has hit hard to steel industry, otherwise it will affect the industry at large.

The HTP-IV tariff is introduced on financial and technical considerations and at a concessional rate so that energy intensive industry can be attracted and power can be used at night. Further the concession is granted on both demand and energy charges of HTP-IV. The demand charges were kept at 1/3 of the demand charges paid by HTP-I consumer and energy charges are also fixed at concessional rate.

# **Response of DISCOM**

The fixed charges are levied from the consumer to recover the fixed cost which the company incurs irrespective of consumption and time of consumption by the consumer. Fixed charges cover components like cost of infrastructure, employee cost, R&M cost, A&G cost etc. and therefore any kind of discrimination among tariff categories would lead to pass on the burden on other tariff categories. It is therefore not appropriate to have different fixed charges for the consumers of the same category. Further energy charges of HTP-IV category are substantially lower than energy charges of other category consumers.

### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOM is noted.



# **Issue 18: Reduction of Railway Traction Tariff**

The Western Railways have requested for reduction in Railway Traction Tariff due to:

- (a) Railways (GoI) are EHT consumer;
- (b) Distribution loss of Railways are least; and
- (c) Railways pay the bills promptly to DISCOMs.

# **Response of DISCOMs**

It can be seen from the past tariff orders that there has been no revision in energy charges of Railways even though there has been substantial increase in the cost of operations and service for the utility and the rate of inflation. Moreover, the freight cost charged by Railways for transportation of fuel has been increased substantially in last couple of years.

The contention of the railways that the railway traction tariff should be lower than HT industrial category cannot be sustained on the basis of the following special facilities being extended and the harmful effects on its power system due to the Railway Traction load which warrants payment of higher charges by railways.

- (i) Supply on two phases is given which induces imbalance in the system and excess demand reflects due to bunching of trains and charges at normal tariff and no penalty is levied. There is no load shedding, or power cuts unlike other HT consumers.
- (ii) Traction load transmits fluctuation and harmonics, which are harmful to the equipment and generators. These are absorbed by the system of GEB and no extra charges is levied for these harmful injections by the traction load of railways.

### Commission's analysis

The request of Western Railway and the response of DISCOMs are noted.

# Issue 19: Cost of supply

Railway Traction, being EHV connection, technical, distribution and commercial losses are not there. Hence the cost of EHV supply should always be less compared to the average cost of supply of other categories of consumers.



### Response of DISCOMs

The National Tariff Policy mentions the need to have a rationalization of tariff to various consumer categories such that it is more aligned to cost of supply and in a band of  $\pm$  20% to the average cost of supply. In order to ensure uniform tariff rates for all four state owned Distribution Companies, differential bulk supply tariff mechanism is in place. Accordingly average realization from Railway Traction is Rs. 6.64/kWh and (+) 20% of average cost is Rs. 6.69/kWh.

### Commission's observation

The Commission has determined the tariff taking all factors into consideration.

### **Issue 20: Fuel Adjustment Cost**

DISCOMs are charging very high FCA charges and increasing the same from time to time whereas no other SEBs have done like this where as the hike in fuel prices equally applies to all States. Hence it is not justifiable to levy steep hike FCA.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

DISCOMs are transporting coal from coal mines located in Orissa, Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh and Jharkhand which are farthest from Gujarat and the cost of transport of coal is much higher than the cost of coal. Further, the Railways has increased tariff for load transport in the recent past.

Also, the basic nature of FPPPA is adjustment related to power purchase cost i.e., passing on the increase or decrease, as the case may be. The FPPPA charge is levied on the consumer categories on account of the charges in power purchase cost which comprises almost to 85 to 90% of ARR. Any expenses related to Regulated business has to be recovered from the consumers and hence the FPPPA charges are recovered as incremental energy charges (Rs/kWh) as per the formulae approved by the Commission.

#### Commission's observation

The FPPA charges are determined based on the actual cost of Fuel Power Purchase on which DISCOMs have no control. The costs are passed on to the consumers.



### Issue 21: Increase in Power factor incentive

Railways have requested that in view of the APTEL order in Case No. 224/2006 (against tariff order of GERC dated 6.5.2006), to restore the original power factor incentive rates at 1% instead of 0.5% as best efforts are being made by Railways to improve PF of the system. Since Gujarat is charging penalty at 1% for PF below 0.9, Power Factor should be enhanced to rates as existing in Madhya Pradesh which is 1.5% of energy charges for PF from 0.95 to 0.96, 2% for 0.96 to 0.97, 3% for 0.97 to 0.98, 5% for 0.98 and 7% for 0.99 and above.

# **Response of DISCOMs**

The PF incentive of 0.5% is fixed by Commission in Review Petition No: 2 & 3 of 2007 filed by Western Railway after a lot of discussion and deliberation on both sides.

#### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOMs is noted.

### Issue 22: Increase in EHV rebate from 1 to 1.5%

Western Railways requested for increase in EHV rebate from 1% to 1.5%.

# **Response of DISCOMs**

Rebate to consumers availing supply at HV level should be commensurate with the reduction in losses from normal voltage level for which the tariff has been determined. It is difficult to quantify the exact savings in energy losses due to power supply at HV class.

### Commission's observation

The response of DISCOMs is noted.

### Issue 23: Fixation of Ceiling for FCA

Western Railways requested for fixation of upper ceiling for FCA.

# **Response of DISCOMs**

National Tariff Policy provides for recovery of uncontrollable cost speedily to ensure that future consumers are not burdened with the past cost. Prior approval of the Commission however is taken in case increase in fuel charges is beyond 10 paise/kWh in a quarter.



### Commission's observation

As mentioned earlier the FPPPA are arrived at based on actual cost of fuel/power every quarter and increase or reduction in cost is passed on to the consumers. It is not possible to fix ceiling.

### Issue 24: Need for Simultaneous Maximum Demand

DISCOMs levy charges based on the contract demand at individual traction substation and levy penalties for exceeding contract demand. Due to bunching of trains in the feed zone of traction substation demand will shoot up for a short spell at a couple of substations. Hence it becomes logical and appropriate that traction tariff should be made a single part tariff and if not, demand changes should be based as simultaneous maximum demand of various traction substations.

# **Response of DISCOMs**

As per the directives of the Commission, a meeting was held with Railway authorities and an understanding was given that after allowing bunching of trains, the issue is largely being addressed. There is no difference between load/demand of railways at various locations, and the load/demand of other industries having multiple location/factories in DISCOM and who may also claim simultaneous maximum demand. Further if simultaneous maximum demand is allowed, it means that the sum of the demand at various locations can be drawn at a single location which may have catastrophic consequence as electrical infrastructure of DISCOM side is not designed / provided for entire load of all location at a particular location. Therefore it is not possible to accept simultaneous maximum demand.

# Commission's observation

The issue raised will be examined and appropriate decision will be taken.



# 4. Truing up for FY 2012-13

The PGVCL, in its submission for True-up for FY 2012-13, has furnished the actual energy sales, expenditure and revenue for FY 2012-13, based on the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The licensee has stated that the truing up for FY 2012-13 is based on the comparison of the actual performance of the FY 2012-13 with the approved aggregate revenue requirement for FY 2012-13 in the Tariff Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, to arrive at the Gains/(Losses), as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.

The Commission has analysed the components of the actual energy sales, expenses, revenue and computed Gains/(Losses) in the process of truing up for FY 2012-13.

# 4.1 Energy sales

### Licensee's submission

The licensee has submitted the category-wise actual energy sales for FY 2012-13, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.1: Category-wise Actual Sales for FY 2012-13

		Sales (	MU)
SI.No.	Particulars	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Submitted in Truing up)
Α	LT Consumers		
1	RGP	2824	2796
2	GLP	2725	83
3	Non RGP & LTMD		2743
4	Public Water works	400	482
5	Agriculture – Metered	1059	1789
6	Agriculture – Un-metered	3966	4081
7	Public Lighting	88	80
	LT Total (A)	11062	12054
В	HT Consumers		
8	Industrial HT	4235	5167
9	Railway Traction	-	-
	HT Total (B)	4235	5167
_	Grand Total (A+B)	15297	17221



# **Commission's Analysis**

The Commission, in the MYT order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, had approved the energy sales of 15297 MU for FY 2012-13. As against the above, PGVCL has submitted the actual sales of 17221 MU. The projected actual energy sales are higher by 1924 MU, compared to the sales approved in the order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011. There is an increase in sales to HT Industry by 932 MU and agriculture by about 845 MU. There is considerable variation in the sales to commercial and LT Industry, due to re-categorisation. There is also an increase in sales in the Public Water Works category, whereas the actual sales are less in the case of Residential and Public Lighting.

# The Commission approves the energy sales of 17221 MU for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.2: Energy sales approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13

		Sales (MU)			
SI.	Particulars	FY 2012-13	FY 2012-13	FY 2012-13	
No.	i articulars	(Approved in	(Submitted in	(Approved in	
		MYT Order)	Truing up)	Truing up)	
Α	LT Consumers				
1	RGP	2824	2796	2796	
2	GLP	2725	83	83	
3	Non RGP & LTMD		2743	2743	
4	Public Water Works	400	482	482	
5	Agriculture – Metered	1059	1789	1789	
6	Agriculture – Un-metered	3966	4081	4081	
7	Public Lighting	88	80	80	
	LT Total (A)	11062	12054	12054	
В	HT Consumers				
8	Industrial HT	4235	5167	5167	
9	Railway Traction	-	•	-	
	HT Total (B)	4235	5167	5167	
	Grand Total (A+B)	15297	17221	17221	

### 4.2 Distribution Losses

### Licensee's Submission

The licensee has submitted that the actual distribution losses for FY 2012-13 are 29.90%, as against the approved level of 27.00% in the Tariff Order for FY 2012-13. It has been submitted by the licensee that, as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011 the distribution losses need to be treated as controllable and any gain or loss has to be dealt with accordingly, as per provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.



# **Commission's Analysis**

The PGVCL has submitted that the actual distribution losses are 29.90% for FY 2012-13, as against 27.00% considered in the MYT Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, for the FY 2012-13.

The Commission considers distribution losses as controllable, as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. Accordingly, the Commission considers the distribution losses of 27.00%, approved in the MYT Order for the truing up of FY 2012-13, as shown in the Table below, for computation of gain/losses due to variance in distribution losses.

Table 4.3: Distribution Losses considered for truing up for FY 2012-13

# 4.3 Energy Requirement

### Licensee's submission

Based on the actual energy sales for FY 2012-13 and the actual distribution losses for FY 2012-13, the licensee has submitted the energy requirement for FY 2012-13, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.4: Energy Requirement and Energy Balance, as Submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13

SI. No.	Particulars	Unit	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Submitted in Truing up)
1	Energy Sales	MU	15297	17221
2	Distribution Losses	MU	5658	7345
2 Distribution Lo	Distribution Losses	%	27.00	29.90
3	Energy Requirement	MU	20955	24566
4	Transmission Losses	MU	900	1145
4		%	4.12	4.48
5	Total Energy to be Input to the	MU	21855	25711
ິວ	Transmission System			
6	Pooled Losses in PGCIL System	MU	431	227
7	Total Energy Requirement	MU	22286	25938

### **Commission's Analysis**

The PGVCL has computed the energy requirement, based on the actual distribution losses of 29.90%, actual energy sales of 17221 MU and transmission losses of



4.48%. It can be seen from the Table given above that the distribution losses are more than those considered in the MYT Order. The transmission losses are also higher than those considered in the MYT Order.

The Commission has computed the energy requirement of PGVCL for FY 2012-13, based on the energy sales, approved by the Commission, as shown in the Table below.

Table 4.5: Energy Requirement Approved by the Commission for Truing up for FY 2012-13

SI. No.	Particulars	Unit	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Actuals submitted in the petition)	FY 2012-13 (considered for truing up for the purpose of energy requirement)
1	Energy Sales	MU	15297	17221	17221
2	2 Distribution Losses	MU	5658	7345	7345
		%	27.00	29.90	29.90
3	Energy Requirement	MU	20955	24566	24566
4	Transmission Losses	MU	900	1145	1152
4	Transmission Losses	%	4.12	4.48	4.48
5	Total Energy to be Input to Transmission System	MU	21855	25711	25719
6	Pooled Losses in PGCIL System	MU	431	227	227
7	Total Energy Requirement	MU	22286	25938	25946

# 4.4 Power purchase cost

# Licensee's submission

The licensee has submitted that the company has been allocated share of generation capacities, as per the scheme worked out by GUVNL.

During the year, based on the requirement of power, the generation capacities have been allocated to PGVCL. At one point of time, PGVCL may be drawing higher than its allocated PPA generation, and at another point of time, it may be drawing lower power than its allocated PPA generation. If there is surplus power, the distribution company sells the power to the other distribution company and if there is deficit of power, then power is bought from the other distribution company. The comparison of the approved and actual power purchase cost, as submitted by PGVCL is as shown below:



Table 4.6: Net Power Purchase Cost for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual submitted
Total Power Purchase Cost	5947	7715

The Power Purchase Cost given above is the net power purchase cost after considering the net UI Cost Payable/receivable and the revenue from sale of power to GUVNL. The PGVCL has submitted the actual power purchase cost during FY 2012-13, as shown below:

Table 4.7: Power purchase cost submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13

SI. No.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. Crore)	Units (MU)
1	Power Purchase from GUVNL	8972	29000
2	Power Purchase from others (wind, solar etc.,)	49	136
3	UI Import	81	251
4	Total Power Purchase (1+2+3)	9102	29387
5	Power Sold to GUVNL	1315	3229
6	UI Export	72	220
7	Net Power Purchase Cost (4-5-6)	7715	25938

It has been submitted by PGVCL that the variation in the approved cost and the actual power purchase expenses is on account of various reasons. These include: change in cost of power, change in quantum of power purchased, consequent changes in the transmission charges payable and GUVNL cost allocation.

In addition, there is an incidence of higher power purchase cost on account of the higher distribution losses, as compared to the losses approved by the Commission in the Tariff Order.

It is further submitted that variation in power purchase expenses is due to variation in the cost and quantum, which is an uncontrollable factor. Accordingly, any gains or losses on this account are to be entirely passed on to the consumers. However, the increase or reduction in quantum of power purchase and power purchase expense, due to variation in distribution losses, is a controllable factor; which would result in gains or losses under GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011 and is dealt with accordingly.

### **Commission's Analysis**

The Commission has examined the actual quantum of power purchased and the power purchase cost during the year FY 2012-13, based on the actual energy sales and the distribution losses submitted by PGVCL. It is observed by the Commission that GUVNL cost allocated to the Discoms is less than 4 paise/unit allowed in the



MYT order dated 6th September, 2011. Hence, the Commission allows the same. The sales and the quantum of power purchase and the power purchase cost are as per the audited annual accounts for the FY 2012-13. The power purchase cost, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, is Rs. 7715.42 Crore, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4.8: Power purchase cost as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI.	Particulates Particulates	Amount
No.		
1	Power Purchase from GUVNL	8972.42
2	Power Purchase from Others (Wind/Solar)	48.34
3	UI Import including Reactive Energy Charges	81.89
4	Total Power Purchase	9102.65
5	Power Sold to GUVNL	1314.75
6	UI Export	72.48
7	Net Power Purchase Cost (4-5-6)	7715.42

The Commission, accordingly, approves the power purchase cost of Rs. 7715.42 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

Table 4.9: Power Purchase Cost Approved by the Commission for Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	FY 2012-13	FY 2012-13	FY 2012-13
	(Approved	(Submitted in	(Approved in
	in MYT Order)	Truing up)	True-up)
Total Power Purchase Cost	5947	7715.42	7715.42

# 4.4.1 Gains/(Losses) due to distribution losses

The Commission had approved the distribution loss at 27% in the MYT order, against which the actual distribution loss of PGVCL is 29.90% for FY 2012-13.

The total Gains/(Losses) on account of higher distribution loss are computed in the Table below:

Table 4.10: Gains/ (Losses) on account of distribution losses for FY 2012-13

SI. No.	Particulars	Unit	As projected by PGVCL for FY 2012-13	Considered for computation of Gains/(Losses) for FY 2012-13
1	Energy Sales	MU	17221	17221
2	Distribution Leases	MU	7345	6369.41
	Distribution Losses	%	29.90	27.00
3	Energy Requirement	MU	24566	23590
4	Gains/(Losses) due to Distribution Losses	MU	(976)	(975.92)



SI. No.	Particulars	Unit	As projected by PGVCL for FY 2012-13	Considered for computation of Gains/(Losses) for FY 2012-13
5	Average Cost of Power	Rs./	2.97	2.67
3	Purchase	kWh		
6	Gains/(Losses) Due to	Rs.	(290)	(260.57)
О	Distribution Losses	Crore	` ,	,

The total losses, on account of higher distribution losses, as submitted by PGVCL is Rs. 290 Crore and the same computed by the Commission is Rs. 260.57 Crore. PGVCL's projection is based on Wt. Avg. rate of power purchase as the actual for FY 2012-13, instead of Wt. Avg. rate of power purchase approved by the Commission in the MYT Order.

While computing the gains/losses due to change in distribution losses, the Commission has considered the distribution losses 27.00%, as approved in MYT Order to arrive at change in energy requirement at the distribution periphery compared to the actual losses of 29.90%. To arrive at gains/losses due to change in energy requirement, the Commission considered Wt. Avg. rate of power purchase, as approved in the MYT Order.

The Commission considered change in power purchase cost as an uncontrollable factor, which is attributable to the variation in cost and quantum of power due to variations in sales and transmission losses, while variations in quantum of power, due to distribution losses, are considered as controllable. Accordingly, gains/losses computed, on account of power purchase, are shown in the Table below.

Table 4.11: Approved Gains / (losses) – Power Purchase Expenses for Truing up

#### for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Approved in True-up)	Deviation +/(-)	Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Total Power Purchase Cost	5946.52	7715.42	(1768.9)	(260.57)	(1508.33)



# 4.5 Fixed Charges

# 4.5.1 Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses for FY 2012-13

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 349 Crore towards actual O&M expenses in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 470.42 Crore considered for FY 2012-13 in the MYT order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September 2011, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.12: O&M Expenses Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation + / (-)
1	Employee Cost	414	476	(62)
2	Repairs and Maintenance	89	70	19
3	Administration and General expenses	79	97	(19)
4	Other Debits	7	4	3
5	Extraordinary Items	0	-	0
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/ (Income)	-	(0)	0
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(118)	(298)	180
8	Total O&M Expenses	470	349	122

#### Licensee's submission

The PGVCL has submitted that the O&M expenses consist of the following elements:

- Employee Expenses
- Repairs and Maintenance Expenses
- Administration and General Expenses
- Other Debits
- Extraordinary Items
- Net Prior Period Expense/ (Income)
- Other Expenses Capitalised

The PGVCL has compared the O&M expenses actually incurred during FY 2012-13 with the expenses approved by the Commission for the year in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13 and arrived at a Nil gain/loss on account of controllable factors and gain of Rs. 122 Crore on account of uncontrollable factors, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.13: O&M expenses and Gains/(Losses) submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012- 13 in MYT order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
1	Employee Cost	414	476	-	(62)
2	Repair and Maintenance	89	70	19	-
3	Administration and General Expenses	79	97	(19)	-



SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012- 13 in MYT order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
4	Other Debits	7	4	-	3
5	Extraordinary Items	0	-	-	0
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/ (Income)	-	(0)	-	0
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(118)	(298)	-	180
8	Total O&M Expenses	470	349	(0)	122

The O&M expenses are discussed component-wise in the following paragraphs.

# 4.5.2 Employee Cost

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 476 Crore towards actual employee cost in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 414 Crore approved in the MYT Order. The employee cost approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order of 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, and submitted by PGVCL in the truing up are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.14: Employee Cost Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Employee Cost	414	476

### Licensee's submission

The PGVCL has submitted that the employee cost was as per the directions of the State Government. PGVCL has requested that the variation in employee cost be considered as uncontrollable and, accordingly, appropriate treatment be given to the same. PGVCL has estimated a loss of Rs. 62 Crore, on account of uncontrollable Employee cost

# **Commission's Analysis**

The PGVCL has compared the actual employee cost of Rs. 476 Crore incurred during FY 2012-13 with Rs. 414 Crore, considered in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13. The actual employee cost, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, is Rs. 475.76 Crore.

The Commission considers the employee cost as a controllable expense, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.



The Commission approves the employee cost at Rs. 475.76 Crore in the Truing up for FY 2012-13.

# 4.5.3 Repairs and Maintenance (R&M) expenses

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 70 Crore towards R&M expenses in the Truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 88.98 Crore approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13. The R&M expenses approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order of 6<sup>th</sup> September 2011, and submitted by PGVCL in the truing up are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.15: R&M Expenses Submitted by PGVCL for the Truing up for FY 2012-13 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Repairs and Maintenance Expenses	89	70

#### Licensee's submission

The PGVCL has submitted that the assets of PGVCL are old and require regular maintenance to ensure uninterrupted operations. It is further submitted that PGVCL has been trying its best to ensure uninterrupted operations of the system by undertaking R&M activities, which are uncontrollable in nature.

The PGVCL has estimated a loss of Rs. 19 Crore due to controllable factors, as the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011 provide for considering R&M expenditure as a controllable expenditure.

### **Commission's Analysis**

The actual R&M expenses incurred during FY 2012-13 are Rs. 70.42 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts. The Commission has observed that, though the DISCOM has stated that the assets are old and require regular maintenance, it could not utilise the amount approved by the Commission under the head. The R&M expense is a controllable item of expenditure under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.

The Commission approves the R&M expenses at Rs. 70.42 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.



# 4.5.4 Administrative and General (A&G) expenses

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 97.00 Crore towards A&G expenses in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 78.61 Crore approved in the MYT Order. The A&G expenses approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order of 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, and submitted by PGVCL in the truing up are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.16: A&G Expenses Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

_			(1101 01010)
	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
	A&G Expenses	78.61	97

### Licensee's Submission

The PGVCL has submitted that the A&G expenses are categorised as controllable expenses in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011 and the actual A&G expenses, when compared with the approved value, resulted in a loss of 19 Crore for FY 2012-13.

# **Commission's Analysis**

The actual A&G expenses, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, are Rs. 97.25 Crore and are higher than what was approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13 by Rs. 18.64 Crore.

# The Commission approves the A&G expenses at Rs. 97.25 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The factors impacting A&G expenses are controllable in nature, as specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. The Commission, accordingly, considers the losses under A&G expenses as controllable.

### 4.5.5 Other Debits

### Licensee's Submission

The PGVCL has submitted the actual other debits at Rs. 4 Crore in the truing up, as against Rs. 7.16 Crore approved in the MYT order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011 for FY 2012-13.



### **Commission's Analysis**

The actual other debits, as per audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, are Rs. 3.71 Crore. The other debits represent miscellaneous losses written off and deferred expenses amortised.

The Commission approves the other debits as Rs. 3.71 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

# 4.5.6 Extraordinary Items

The PGVCL has not submitted anything under extraordinary items in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against provision of Nil approved in the Tariff Order for FY 2012-13.

### **Commission's Analysis**

The actual extraordinary items are Nil, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13.

The Commission approves the extraordinary items as Nil in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

### 4.5.7 Net Prior Period Expenses / Income

The PGVCL has not submitted anything towards net prior period income in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

### **Commission's Analysis**

The PGVCL did not estimate prior period expenses/ income in the MYT Petition for FY 2012-13. These net prior period expenses/ income are recognised through a directive in the MYT Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011. The actual net prior period income accounted for in the audited annual accounts is Rs. 0.07 Crore.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the net prior period income of Rs. 0.07 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

### 4.5.8 Other Expenses Capitalised

The PGVCL has submitted the actual expenses capitalised at Rs. 298 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 118 Crore approved in the MYT Order for the FY 2012-13.



# **Commission's Analysis**

The Commission has observed that the other expenses capitalised represent the capitalisation of employees cost, A&G expenses and interest charges, etc., as can seen from Note 29 of the annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The actual other expenses capitalised are Rs. 303.18 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. These other expenses capitalised include Rs. 4.74 Crore towards capitalisation of interest charges. The interest charges capitalised are excluded from this, since the interest charges are allowed on normative basis against the actual capitalisation of CAPEX.

The Commission approves the other expenses capitalised at Rs. 298.44 Crore, excluding the interest charges capitalised, in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The total O&M expenses approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13 and the Gains/(Losses) considered due to controllable and uncontrollable factors are detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.17: Approved O&M Expenses and Gains/(Losses) in the Truing up for FY 2012-13.

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation +/(-)	Gains/ (Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
1	Employee Cost	413.67	475.76	(62.09)	(62.09)	
2	Repairs and Maintenance	88.98	70.42	18.56	18.56	
3	Administrative and General Expenses	78.61	97.25	(18.64)	(18.64)	
4	Other Debits	7.16	3.71	3.45		3.45
5	Extraordinary Items	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/(Income)	0.00	(0.07)	0.07		0.07
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(118.00)	(298.44)	180.44		180.44
8	Total O&M Expenses	470.42	348.63	121.79	(62.17)	183.96

# 4.5.9 Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of CAPEX

The PGVCL has furnished the capital expenditure at Rs. 1382 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 1421 Crore considered in the ARR for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order. The details are as given in the Table below:



Table 4.18: Capital Expenditure Submitted by PGVCL for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Considered in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Distribution Schemes	370	424
2	Rural Electrification Schemes	421	673
3	Non Plan Schemes	281	127
4	Others	2	-
5	Other New Schemes	347	158
6	Total Capital Expenditure	1421	1382

### Licensee's Submission

The PGVCL has submitted that the actual capital expenditure incurred during FY 2012-13 was Rs. 1382 Crore, which is lower than what was considered for FY 2012-13 by Rs. 39 Crore in the MYT Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011.

### **Commission's Analysis**

The capital expenditure considered in the ARR for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011 was Rs. 1421 Crore. The actual capital expenditure incurred is given as Rs. 1382 Crore, which is about 97% of the CAPEX considered in the ARR for FY 2012-13. The actual capitalisation was Rs. 1319.78 Crore, as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13. The consumer contribution, as verified from the audited annual accounts, is Rs. 301.89 Crore. The subsidies and grants, as verified from the audited annual accounts, are Rs. 83.41 Crore.

# The Commission approves the capitalisation of Rs. 1319.78 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The CAPEX, capitalisation and funding submitted by the PGVCL, and approved by the Commission, are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.19: Approved Capitalisation and Source of Funding in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in Truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Capital Expenditure	-	-	-
2	Capitalization	1055.77	1320	1319.78
3	Less: Consumer Contribution	172.00	297	301.89
4	Less: Subsidies and Grants	9.00	87	83.41



SI. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in Truing up for FY 2012-13
5	Balance Capitalization	874.77	935	934.48
6	Debt (70%)	612.34	655	654.14
7	Equity (30%)	262.34	281	280.34

# 4.5.10 Depreciation

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 358 Crore towards depreciation in the truing up for FY 2012-13. The depreciation charges approved in the MYT Order of 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011 and charges submitted by PGVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13 are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.20: Depreciation Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

		(1.01.01.0)
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
		0.10
Depreciation	333	358

### Licensee's Submission

The PGVCL has submitted that the amount of depreciation, as per actuals, is higher than the approved depreciation. PGVCL has applied the rate of depreciation, as specified by CERC, assuming the asset mix to remain unchanged.

The PGVCL has considered the depreciation rate, as per the CERC Regulations, 2009, and computed the depreciation, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.21: Fixed Assets and Depreciation Computed by PGVCL for FY 2012-13.

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation + / (-)
1	Gross Block At The Beginning of the Year	5,783	6,136	
2	Additions During the Year	1,056	1,320	
3	Depreciation for the Year	333	358	(26)
4	Average Rate of Depreciation	5.27%	5.27%	

The PGVCL has further submitted that the actual depreciation for FY 2012-13, as against the value approved in the MYT Order, resulted in a net uncontrollable loss of Rs. 26 Crore, as detailed in the Table below:



Table 4.22: Gains/ (Losses) due to Depreciation Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Depreciation	333	385	0	(26)

# **Commission's Analysis**

The opening balance of GFA, the net addition during the year FY 2012-13 and the closing balance of GFA have been verified with the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The PGVCL has adopted the opening balance of GFA as Rs. 6135.66 Crore and this is as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13. The depreciation rate of 5.27% adopted is in the line with the depreciation rates specified in the CERC Tariff Regulations, 2009.

The Commission has computed the depreciation at Rs. 358.13 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.23: Approved Depreciation in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved for FY 2012-13 in truing up
1	Gross Block at the Beginning of the Year	6136	6135.66
2	Additions During the Year	1320	1319.78
3	Depreciation for the Year	358	358.13
4	Average Rate of Depreciation	5.27%	5.27%

As regards the computation of Gains/(Losses), Regulation 23.2 considers variation in capitalisation, on account of time and/or cost overruns / efficiencies in the implementation of capital expenditure project, not attributable to an approved change in the scope of such a project, change in statutory levies or force majeure events, as a controllable factor. While approving the true-up for FY 2011-12, the Commission had considered the variation in the Capitalisation and the resultant change in depreciation, Interest on borrowings and Return on Equity as uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gains/(Losses), on account of depreciation in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:



Table 4.24: Gains/(Losses) due to Depreciation Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Depreciation	332.59	358.13	0	(25.54)

# 4.5.11 Interest and Guarantee charges

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 238 Crore towards interest and guarantee charges in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 142.47 Crore approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.25: Interest and Guarantee Charges Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

		(113: 01010)
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13
Interest and Finance Charges	142.47	238

### Licensee's Submission

The PGVCL has submitted that it has considered the opening balance of loan as the closing balance of loan approved in the truing up for FY 2011-12 in the Tariff Order dated 16<sup>TH</sup> April 2013. The loan addition has been computed at Rs. 655 Crore towards loan for funding the CAPREX for FY 2012-13. PGVCL has considered the weighted average rate of interest of 10.21%, as against 7.33% considered in MYT Order for FY 2012-13. In addition to the above, PGVCL has considered the guarantee charges payable on legacy loan from the erstwhile GEB and interest on security deposits. The details of interest and guarantee charges submitted by PGVCL are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.26: Interest and Guarantee Charges Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Loans	1,272	1,380
2	New Loan During the Year	612	655
3	Repayment During the Year	333	358
4	Closing Loans	1,552	1,676
5	Average Loans	1,412	1,528
6	Interest on Loans	103	156
7	Interest on Security Deposit	37	76



SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13
8	Guarantee Charges & Other finance	2	7
	Charges		
9	Total Interest & Guarantee Charges	142	238
10	Weighted Average Rate of Interest	7.33%	10.21%

The PGVCL has further submitted that interest and guarantee charges are categorised as uncontrollable, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011 and, accordingly, worked out deviation in the actuals, vis-à-vis the approved expenses under uncontrollable factors and these are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.27: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Interest & Guarantee Charges for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losse) due to uncontrollable factors
Interest and Guarantee charges	142	238	-	(96)

# **Commission's Analysis**

The Commission has analysed the loan for capital expenditure and approved the opening loan towards capital expenditure as Rs. 1379.58 Crore, being the closing balance of loan approved in the truing up for FY 2011-12 in the Tariff Order dated 16<sup>th</sup> April 2013. This is taken as the opening balance of loan in the truing up for FY 2012-13. The normative addition of loan during FY 2012-13 has been considered as approved in Table 4.19 above based on the actual capitalisation as per the audited annual accounts. PGVCL has submitted the actual interest on security deposit at Rs. 76 Crore as per audited annual accounts.

The repayment of loan has been submitted as Rs. 358 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, which is equivalent to the depreciation. The guarantee charges and other finance charges, as per audited accounts for FY 2012-13, are Rs. 6.57 Crore, as against Rs. 7 Crore claimed. PGVCL has submitted vide e-mail dated 29.03.2014 details of the actual opening balance as on 01.04.2012 for each loan portfolio and the rate of interest applicable for each loan port folio for FY 2012-13. Based on these details, the weighted average rate of interest in accordance with the Clause 39 of



GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, works out to 9.39%. Taking all these factors into consideration, the interest charges computed as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.28: Interest & Guarantee charges approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Loans	1,380	1379.58
2	New Loan During the Year	655	654.14
3	Repayment During the Year	358	358.13
4	Closing Loans	1,676	1675.59
5	Average Loans	1,528	1527.58
6	Interest on Loans	156	143.44
7	Weighted Average Rate of Interest	10.21%	9.39%
8	Interest on Security Deposit	76	76
9	Guarantee and Other Finance	7.00	6.57
	Charges		
10	Total Interest & Guarantee charges	238	226.01

The Commission approves the interest and guarantee charges as Rs. 226.01 Crore in the truing for FY 2012-13.

As noted in Para 4.5.10 above, the Commission is of the view that the factors which impact interest and guarantee charges should be treated as uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gains/(Losses), on account of interest and guarantee charges, in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.29: Gains/ (Losses) Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Interest and Guarantee Charges	142.47	226.01	-	(83.54)

# 4.5.12 Interest on Working Capital

The PGVCL has not submitted any claim towards interest on working capital in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Nil provision approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13, which are as detailed in the Table below:



Table 4.30: Interest on Working Capital Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13
Interest on Working Capital	Nil	Nil

#### Licensee's Submission

The licensee has submitted that the interest on working capital has been calculated, based on the normative principles outlined in the terms and conditions of Tariff Regulations at an interest rate of 14.75%, being the Short-Term Prime Lending Rate of SBI as on 01/04/2012, as against 11.75% approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13.

The detailed computation of interest on working capital is as given in the Table below:

Table 4.31: Interest on Working Capital, Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13.

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	O&M Expenses (One Month)	39	29
2	Maintenance Spares (1% of Opening GFA)	58	67
3	Receivables	486	731
4	Less: Security Deposits from Consumers	1,175	1,045
5	Total Working Capital	(591.98)	(218)
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital	11.75%	14.75%
7	Interest on Working Capital	-	-

### **Commission's Analysis**

The Commission has examined the computation of normative working capital and interest thereon under GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. Regulation 41.2 (b) specifies that interest shall be allowed at a rate equal to the State Bank Advance Rate (SBAR) as on 1<sup>st</sup> April of the Financial year in which the petition is filed. While approving the interest on working capital in the truing up for FY 2011-12, the Commission decided to consider the rate SBAR prevailing as on 1<sup>st</sup> April of the financial year for which Truing up is being done. The SBAR, as on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012, was 14.75%. The Commission, accordingly, takes into consideration the SBAR of 14.75% in computation of Interest on Working Capital for FY 2012-13.



The Commission has computed the Working Capital and interest thereon, as detailed in Table below:

Table 4.32: Interest on Working Capital Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13 (Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved for FY 2012-13 in truing up
1	O&M Expenses (One Month)	29	29.05
2	Maintenance Spares (1% of Opening GFA)	67	61.36
3	Receivables (1 Month of Sales)	731	684.17
4	Less: Security Deposit From Consumers (Avg.)	1045	1017.57
5	Total Working Capital	(219)	(242.99)
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital	14.75%	14.75%
7	Interest on Working Capital	Nil	Nil

The Commission approves the interest on working capital at Nil in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

### 4.5.13 Provision for bad debts

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 74 Crore towards provision for bad debts in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 8.58 Crore approved in the Tariff Order for FY 2012-13. The details are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.33: Provision for Bad Debts Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Provision for Bad Debt	8.58	74

# Licensee's submission

The PGVCL has submitted that the actual value of bad debt, when compared with the approved value, resulted in a loss of Rs. 65 Crore, on account of controllable factors, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4.34: Provision for Bad Debts for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Provision for Bad Debt	9	74	(65)	-

### **Commission's Analysis**

On a query from the Commission, PGVCL has clarified vide e-mail dated 29.03.2014 that out of the total amount of Rs. 73.80 Crore shown as bad debt written-off in the



annual accounts for FY 2012-13, an amount of Rs. 71.66 Crore is the amount written off under the Amnesty Scheme. The Bad debt written off can be allowed in True-up to the extent of the actual bad debt incurred by the utility as a business risk only. Accordingly, the amount written off under Amnesty Scheme is disallowed in True-up. In view of the above, the Commission allows the bad debt Rs. 2.14 Crore as the amount written-off in True-up of FY 2012-13.

# The Commission, therefore, approves the bad debt as Rs. 2.14 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The deviation on account of bad debt written off is Rs. 6.44 Crore and the Commission considers the gain of Rs. 6.44 Crore due to controllable factors, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.35: Gains/ (Losses) due to Bad Debt Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

				(1101 01010)
Particulars	Approved for	Approved	Gains/(Losses)	Gains/(Losses)
	FY 2012-13 in	in truing up	due to	due to
	MYT Order	for FY 2012-	controllable	uncontrollable
		13	factors	factors
Provision for bad debt	8.58	2.14	6.44	-

### 4.5.14 Return on equity

The PGVCL has submitted Rs. 235 Crore towards return on equity in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 226.24 Crore approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13, which are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.36: Return on Equity Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

		(113: 01010)
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012- 13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Return on Equity	226	235

### Licensee's Submission

The licensee has submitted that PGVCL has computed the return on equity, considering a rate of 14% on the average of opening and closing equity, taking into account the additions during the FY 2012-13.

The details of computation of return on equity are as given in the Table below:



Table 4.37: Return on Equity Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Equity	1,485	1,535
2	Additional Equity During the Year	262	281
3	Closing Equity	1,747	1,816
4	Average Equity	1,616	1,676
5	Rate of Return on Equity	14%	14%
6	Return on Equity	226	235

# **Commission's Analysis**

The PGVCL has furnished the opening equity capital of Rs. 1535 Crore for FY 2012-13 and submitted an equity addition of Rs. 281 Crore during the FY 2012-13. The actual opening equity as on 01/04/2012 was Rs. 1535.47 Crore, being the closing balance of equity approved in the True-up for FY 2011-12. The Commission has approved the normative equity addition at Rs. 280.34 Crore given in Table 4.19.

The Commission has computed the return on equity in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.38: Return on Equity Approved for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in truing Up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Equity	1,535	1535.47
2	Additional Equity During the Year	281	280.34
3	Closing Equity	1,816	1815.81
4	Average Equity	1,676	1675.64
5	Rate of Return on Equity	14%	14%
6	Return on Equity	235	234.59

The Commission approves the return on equity as Rs. 234.59 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

As noted in para 4.5.10 above, the factors impacting the Return on Equity are considered uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains/(losses) on account of return on equity in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:



Table 4.39: Approved Gains/(Losses) Due to Return on Equity in the Truing up for FY 2012-13 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Return on Equity	226.24	234.59	0	(8.35)

#### 4.5.15 Taxes

The PGVCL has submitted Nil provision towards income tax in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 15.24 Crore approved in MYT Order for FY 2012-13, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.40: Taxes Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13
Provision for Tax	15.24	Nil

#### Licensee's Submission

The licensee has submitted that the actual tax worked out to Nil, as against Rs. 15.24 Crore approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13. PGVCL has further mentioned that tax is a statutory expense and this should be allowed without any deduction. PGVCL has submitted a gain of Rs. 15 Crore on account of tax, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.41: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Provision for tax for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	MYT Order		Gains/(Loss es) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losse s) due to uncontrollabl e factors
Provision for Tax	15	0	0	15

#### **Commission Analysis**

As per the audited annual accounts, the tax expense shown is Nil.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the tax paid as Nil in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

As regard the computation of gains/(losses), Regulation 23.1 considers the variation in taxes on income as uncontrollable.



The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains/(losses) on account of tax on income in the truing up for FY 2012-13, which are as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.42: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Tax in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Provision for Tax	15.24	0	0	15.24

#### 4.5.16 Non-Tariff Income

The PGVCL has furnished the actual Non-Tariff income at Rs. 192 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 142.00 Crore approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13, which are as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.43: Non-Tariff Income Submitted by PGVCL in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012- 13 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2012-13
Non-Tariff Income	142	192

#### Licensee's Submission

The Commission, for purpose of ARR determination, considers revenue from Tariff on accrual basis, i.e., the amount billed by PGVCL. However, consumers are not paying the entire amount in the stipulated time frame and the revenue collected (cash basis) is lower than the amount billed. In order to fund this gap (receivables so created), PGVCL has to borrow higher amount for its increased working capital requirement. The delayed payment surcharges are payable by the consumers on such unpaid amounts. In the Annual Accounts, the revenue (on the income side) is on billed basis (accrual), but the borrowings for increased working capital also get reflected as increased interest cost under the Interest and Finance Charges account. Thus, in a way, Delayed Payment Charges (DPC) are sources for funding this increased working capital. The Commission considers revenue on accrual basis and the working capital requirement, though computed on normative basis, does not take into consideration the increased receivable (beyond the norms of 1 month) amount that has to funded by PGVCL. If PGVCL were to collect the entire amount that it has billed, there would be no income from DPC (no receivable would exist).



However, the Commission considers income from DPC. As a result, PGVCL is doubly penalised. The income is considered on accrual basis and simultaneously DPC is also considered in the ARR. In view of this, PGVCL requests the Commission not to consider any income from DPC, since this is a source for funding the increased working capital requirement for receivables created on account of uncollected amount not recognised (in the computing working capital requirement and Revenue) by the Commission in the ARR

The licensee has submitted that the actual value of Non-Tariff income is Rs. 192 Crore, as against Rs. 142.00 Crore approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13. This resulted in a net controllable gain of Rs. 50 Crore, which is as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.44: Gains/(Losses) Submitted due to Non-Tariff Income for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

				(113. 01010)
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Non-Tariff Income	142	192	0	50

#### **Commission's Analysis**

PGVCL has requested that any income from delayed payment charges be not considered, since DPC is a source of funding the increased working capital requirement for receivables created on account of uncollected amount not recognised in computing working capital requirement and revenue.

Regulation 86.1 indicates the list of various heads to be considered for Non-Tariff income, which includes interest on delayed or deferred payment on bills (term). The Commission, accordingly, considers DPC as a part of Non-Tariff income.

The Commission has verified and found that the actual 'other income' is Rs. 262.58 Crore, including the delayed payment charges of Rs. 70.48 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The deviation is Rs. 120.47 Crore, which is a gain.

The Commission approves the Non-Tariff income at Rs. 262.58 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.



Table 4.45: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Non-Tariff Income in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	MYT Order		Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
		FY 2012-13	ractors	Tactors
Non-Tariff income	142.11	262.58	0	120.47

#### 4.6 Revenue From Sale of Power

The PGVCL has furnished the total revenue as Rs. 8409 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 6230 Crore considered in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.46: Revenue Submitted in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

			(1.10. 0.0.0
SI. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	5709	7781
2	Other Income (Consumer Related)	124	198
3	Total Revenue, Excluding Subsidy (1+2)	5833	7980
4	Agriculture Subsidy	397	429
5	Total Revenue Including Subsidy (3+4+5)	6230	8409

#### **Commission's Analysis**

The Commission has verified the total revenue for FY 2012-13 from the audited accounts. The actual revenue from sales, as per audited accounts, is Rs. 7781.09 Crore. The revenue shown by the licensee from sale of power to GUVNL is Rs. 1314.75 Crore and UI charges receivable are Rs. 72.48 Crore for FY 2012-13 and the same has been adjusted by the Commission against the power purchase cost for the FY 2012-13, as shown in the table 4.8.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the total revenue of Rs. 8408.93 Crore, including other income, as Rs. 198.43 Crore and agriculture subsidy as Rs. 429.41 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

Table 4.47: Revenue Approved in the Truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

			(IVS. CIOIE)
SI.	Particulars	Claimed in	Approved for
No.		truing up for	FY 2012-13 in
		FY 2012-13	truing up
1	Revenue From Sale of Power	7781	7781.09



SI. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved for FY 2012-13 in truing up
2	Other Income (Consumer Related)	198	198.43
3	Total Revenue Excluding Subsidy (1+2)	7980	7979.52
4	Agriculture Subsidy	429	429.41
5	Total Revenue, Including Subsidy (3+4+5)	8409	8408.93

#### 4.7 ARR Approved in the Truing up

The Commission reviewed the performance of PGVCL under Regulation 22 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, with reference to the audited accounts for FY 2012-13. The Commission has computed the gains/(losses) for FY 2012-13, based on the truing up for each of the component discussed in the above paragraphs.

The Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) approved in the Tariff Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, the actual submitted in truing up and approved for truing up and Gains/(Losses) computed in accordance with GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011 are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.48: ARR Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Annual Revenue Requirement	Approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012- 13	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation +/(-)	Gains/ (Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/ (Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
1	2	3	4	5	6=(3-5)	7	8
	Cost of Power						
1	Purchase	5946.52	7715.00	7515.42	(1768.90)	(260.57)	(1508.33)
	Operations and Maintenance	470.44	0.40.00	0.40.00	101.70		
2	Expenses	470.41	349.00	348.63	121.79		
2.1	Employee Cost	413.67	476.00	475.76	(62.09)	(62.09)	
2.2	Repairs and Maintenance	88.98	70.00	70.42	18.56	18.56	
	Administrativ e and General						
2.3	Expenses	78.6	97.00	97.25	(18.64)	(18.64)	
2.4	Other Debits	7.16	4.00	3.71	3.45		3.45
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
	Net Prior Period Expenses/						
2.6	Income	0.00	0.00	(0.07)	0.07		0.07
2.7	Other Expenses	(118.00)	(298.00)	(298.44)	180.44		180.44

**Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission** 



SI. No.	Annual Revenue Requirement	Approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012- 13	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation +/(-)	Gains/ (Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/ (Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
	Capitalised						
3	Depreciation	332.59	358.00	358.13	(25.54)		(25.54)
4	Interest and Finance Charges	142.47	238.00	226.01	(83.54)		(83.54)
5	Interest on working capital	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
6	Provision for Bad Debts	8.58	74.00	2-14	6.44	6.44	0.00
7	Return on Equity	226.24	235.00	234.59	(8.35)	<b>G</b>	(8.35)
8	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	15.24	0.00	0	15.24		15.24
	Total	10.24	0.00	<u> </u>	10.24		10.27
9	Expenditure	7142.05	8969.42	8884.92	1742.86	316.30	1426.56
10	Less: Non- Tariff Income	142.11	192.00	262.58	120.47		120.47
11	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	6999.94	8777.42	8622.34	(1622.39)	(316.30)	(1306.09)

#### 4.8 Sharing of Gains / (Losses) for FY 2012-13

The Commission has analysed the gains / (losses), on account of controllable and uncontrollable factors.

The relevant Regulations are extracted below:

## Regulation 24. Mechanism for pass-through of gains or losses on account of uncontrollable factors

- 24.1 The approved aggregate gain or loss to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee on account of uncontrollable factors shall be passed through as an adjustment in the Tariff of the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee over such period as may be specified in the Order of the Commission passed under these Regulations.
- 24.2 The Generating Company, or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee shall submit such details of the variation between expenses incurred and revenue earned and figures approved by the Commission, in the prescribed format to the Commission, along with detailed computations and supporting documents as may be required for verification by the Commission.



24.3 Nothing contained in this Regulation 24 shall apply in respect of any gain or loss arising out of variations in the price of fuel and power purchase which shall be dealt with as specified by the Commission from time to time.

### Regulation 25. Mechanism for sharing of gains or losses on account of controllable factors

25.1 The approved aggregate gain to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee on account of controllable factors shall be dealt with in the following manner:

One-third of the amount of such gain shall be passed on as a rebate in Tariffs over such period as may be specified in the Order of the Commission under Regulation 22.6;

The balance amount, which will amount to two-thirds of such gain, may be utilised at the discretion of the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee.

- 25.2 The approved aggregate loss to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee on account of controllable factors shall be dealt with in the following manner:
- a. One-third of the amount of such loss may be passed on as an additional charge in Tariffs over such period as may be specified in the Order of the Commission under Regulation 22.6; and
- b. The balance amount, which will amount to two-thirds of such loss, shall be absorbed by the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee."

#### 4.9 Revenue gap / Surplus for FY 2012-13

As shown in the Table below, the PGVCL has submitted a revenue gap of Rs. 126 Crore in the truing up after treatment of gains / (losses) due to controllable / uncontrollable factors after comparing the performance with the Tariff order for FY 2012-13.

Table 4.49: Projected Revenue gap / (Surplus) FY 2012-13

SI.<br/>No.ParticularsFY 2012-131Aggregate Revenue Requirement originally7,000



SI. No.	Particulars	FY 2012-13
	approved for FY 2012-13	
2	Gain / (Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factor	(1,413)
	to be passed on to Consumer	
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factor to	(121)
	be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	
4	Revised ARR for FY 2012-13 (1 - 2 - 3)	8,535
5	Revenue from Sale of Power	7,781
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	198
7	Total Revenue, Excluding Subsidy (5+6)	7,980
8	Agriculture Subsidy	429
9	Total Revenue including Subsidy (7 + 8)	8,409
10	Revised (Gap)/ surplus after treating gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable Factors (4 - 9)	126

The Commission compared the actual performance of PGVCL with the values approved in the MYT Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011.

The Commission arrived at the revised ARR and revenue gap, based on the expenses and the gains / loss approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13. The revenue gap / surplus approved by the Commission for FY 2012-13 is summarised in the Table below:

Table 4.50: Revenue Surplus/(Gap) approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	FY 2012-13
1	ARR approved in Tariff Order dated 6 <sup>th</sup> September 2011 for FY	
ı	2012-13	6999.94
2	Gains / (Losses) on Account of Uncontrollable factors to be	
2	Passed on to the Consumers	(1306.09)
3	Gain/ (Loss) on Account of Controllable factors to be Passed on	
3	to the Consumers (1/3rd of total gain/loss)	(105.43)
4	Revised ARR for FY 2012-13 (1-2-3)	8411.46
5	Total Revenue from Sales	7781.09
6	Other Income (Consumer Related)	198.43
7	Total Revenue, Excluding Subsidy (5+6)	7979.52
8	Agriculture Subsidy	429.41
9	Total Revenue, Including Subsidy (7+8)	8408.93
10	Revised Surplus/(Gap) after Treating Gains/(Losses) due to	
10	Controllable/Uncontrollable factors (9-4)	(2.53)

#### 4.10 Consolidated revenue Surplus of the Discoms for FY 2012-13

The consolidated revenue surplus of the four Discoms viz. DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL, after truing up of FY 2012-13 is summarised below.



Table 4.51: Consolidated revenue surplus of four Discoms for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Discoms	Amount
1	DGVCL	10.14
2	MGVCL	(27.63)
3	PGVCL	(2.53)
4	UGVCL	25.70
	Total	5.68

While determining the ARR for FY 2014-15 in the MYT Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, the Commission has considered GUVNL cost of four paise per unit to be added to power purchase cost of each Discoms. GUVNL is entrusted for purchase of power on behalf of Discoms and sale of surplus power, if any, thereby adjusting power purchase cost of the Discoms. The 4 paise/unit is allowed by the Commission to GUVNL for meeting their expenses to carry out the business entrusted to it. It is very clear that any profit earned by GUVNL out of its statutory activities should be distributed amongst Discoms as the entire cost of GUVNL is being borne by Discoms. In view of the above, the Commission decides to adjust the amount of Rs. 13.81 Crore which is Profit After Tax in P&L Statement of the Annual Accounts of GUVNL for FY 2012-13, in proportion to the energy procured, as shown in Table below:

Table 4.52: Net revenue (Gap) / Surplus approved for FY 2012-13

SI. No.	Particulars	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	Total
1	Energy procured by four State Owned Discoms (in MUs)	13471	8533	25938	18321	66263
2	% share in procurement of energy	20.33%	12.88%	39.14%	27.65%	100.00%
3	Distribution of excess cost recovery by GUVNL as per % shown in (2) (in Rs. Crore)	2.81	1.78	5.41	3.82	13.82
4	Revenue (gap) / surplus after truing up of FY 2012-13 (in Rs. Crore)	10.14	(27.63)	(2.53)	25.70	10.74
5	Net revenue (gap) / surplus of FY 2012-13 to be considered (4+3) (in Rs. Crore)	12.95	(25.85)	2.88	29.52	19.50



### 5. Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

#### 5.1 Introduction

This chapter deals with the determination of revenue gap/surplus, as well as consumer tariff for the FY 2014-15 for PGVCL. The Commission has considered the ARR approved in the Mid-term Review for FY 2014-15 and the adjustment on account of True-up for FY 2012-13, while determining the revenue gap/surplus for FY 2014-15.

#### 5.2 Approved ARR for FY 2014-15

Based on the above approach, the Table below summarises the Annual Revenue Requirement as approved by the Commission in the Mid-term Review for the FY 2014-15. Detailed analysis of each expense head has already been provided in the Mid-term Review.

Table 5.1: Approved ARR for FY 2014-15

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Particulars	MYT Order Approved	Projected in Mid-term Review	Approved in Mid-term Review
1	Cost of Power Purchase	6723.88	8481.00	8399.9
2	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	649.64	482.00	389.28
2.1	Employee Cost	462.34	576.00	531.74
2.2	Repairs and Maintenance	99.45	85.00	78.7
2.3	Administrative and General Expenses	87.85	118.00	108.69
2.4	Other Debits	7.16	4.00	3.71
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0.00	-	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / Income	0.00	-	1
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(131.00)	(300.00)	(333.56)
3	Depreciation	451.45	508.00	493.25
4	Interest and Finance Charges	180.68	329.00	310.45
5	Interest on Working Capital	0.00	-	0
6	Provision for Bad Debts	8.58	20.00	2.14
7	Return on Equity	304.57	319.00	317.45
8	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	15.24	64.00	15.00
9	Total Expenditure (1 to 8)	8210.20	10203.00	9,927.46
10	Less: Non-Tariff Income	142.11	200.00	273.19
11	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	8068.09	10003.00	9,654.27



#### 5.3 Projected Revenue From the Existing Tariff for FY 2014-15

The PGVCL has projected the Revenue from sale of power as Rs. 9535 Crore in the Mid-term Review for FY 2014-15 with existing Tariff, including FPPPA of Rs. 1.20 per kWh, other consumer-related income and agriculture subsidy, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 5.2: Projected Revenue for FY 2014-15

(Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Parameter	Projected in Mid-term Review
1	Revenue from Sale of Power @ Existing Tariff	6623
2	Revenue From FPPPA at Rs. 1.20 per kWh	2254
3	Other Income (Consumer Related)	221
4	Agriculture Subsidy (Expected from Government)	436
5	Total Revenue	9535

The Category-wise estimated sales, number of consumers, connected load and sales revenue are as given in the Table below:

Table 5.3: Projected Sales, No. of Consumers, Connected Load and Category Wise Revenue for FY 2014-15

	1					
SI.		Projected for FY 2014-15				
No.	Particulars	Sales (MU)	Number of Consumers	Connected Load (KW)	Revenue (Rs. Crore)	
Α	LT Consumers					
1	Residential	3,279	3,360,936	3,202	1,215	
2	Commercial	83	22,552	73	33	
3	Industrial LT	3,137	601,940	2,464	1,606	
4	Public Water Works	646	14,618	139	209	
5	Agriculture	6,935	659,171	4,318	853	
6	Public Lighting	88	6,283	25	36	
	Total (A)	14,169	4,665,500	10,221	3,952	
В	HT Consumers					
1	Industrial HT	4,617	4,183	2,253	2,672	
2	Railway Traction	-	-	-	-	
	HT Total (B)	4,617	4,183	2,253	2,672	
	Grand Total	18,786	4,669,683	12,475	6,623	

PGVCL has projected a revenue gap of Rs. 594 Crore for FY 2014-15 with existing tariff, as detailed in the Table below:



Table 5.4: Projected Revenue Gap/(Surplus) for FY 2014-15 with the Existing Tariff (Rs. Crore)

		(113: 01010
SI. No.	Parameter	FY 2014-15 (Projected)
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	10,003
2	Revenue Gap from True-up of FY 2012-13	126
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	10,129
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	6,623
5	FPPPA Charges @ 120 paisa/kWh	2,254
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	221
7	Agriculture Subsidy	436
8	Total Revenue including subsidy (4 to 7)	
9	Gap / (Surplus) (3 - 8)	

#### **Commission's Analysis**

The Commission has reviewed the sales projected in the Mid-term Review and approved the sales at MU in the Mid-term Review. The Commission has recomputed the sales revenue, based on the sales approved in the Mid-term Review, and applying FPPPA @ Rs. 1.20 per kWh, as detailed in the Table below:

The Revenue, as approved for FY 2014-15 in the MYT Order, and approved by the Commission in the Mid-term Review are given in the Table below:

Table 5.5: Approved Sales and Category Wise Revenue for FY 2014-15

SI. No	Particulars	Approved in	n MYT Order	Order Approved in Mid-ter Review	
NO		MU	(Rs. Crore)	MU	(Rs. Crore)
Α	LT Consumers				
1	RGP	3380	1034.28	3279	1215
2	GLP	1529	735.45	102	41
3	Industrial – LT & Non RGP	1757	801.19	3360	1720
4	Public Water Works	480	127.20	646	209
5	Agriculture	5253	541.06	5888	793
6	Public Lighting	102	34.17	88	36
	LT Total (A)	12501	3273.35	13363	4014
В	HT consumers				
7	Industrial - HT	4522	2120.82	5580	3229
8	Railways		0.00	0	0
	HT Total (B)	4522	2121	5580	3229
9	Sub Total	17023	5394	18943	7243
10	FPPPA		1038.40		2273
	Add: Other Income		124.00		221
11	(Consumer Related)		124.00		
12	Total		6556.57		9737
13	Add: Agriculture Subsidy		402		436
	Total Revenue Including		6958.57		10173
14	Agriculture Subsidy		0936.37		



#### 5.4 Estimated Revenue and Revenue Gap/Surplus for FY 2014-15

The Commission has considered the total category-wise sales, as approved in the Mid-term Review Order, and has applied the existing tariff on the approved sales for each category of consumers. The total revenue from sale of power computed by the Commission at existing tariff is Rs. 10173 Crore, including FPPPA. The FPPPA rate has been considered at Rs. 1.20 per unit. The estimated surplus for FY 2014-15 is given in the Table below:

Table 5.6: Approved Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2014-15 with existing Tariff (Rs. Crore)

SI. No.	Parameter	Approved in Mid-term Review
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	9654.27
2	Less: Revenue Surplus from True-up of FY 2012-13	2.88
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	9651.39
4	Revenue with the Existing Tariff	7243
5	FPPPA Charges @ 120 paisa/kWh	2273
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	221
7	Agriculture Subsidy	436
8	Total Revenue Including Subsidy (4 to 7)	10173
9	(Gap)/Surplus (8 - 3)	521.61

As the uniform tariff for State owned Discoms has been envisaged in the MYT Order dated 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2011, it is necessary to consider the consolidated surplus of FY 2014-15 for all the State owned Discoms, while determining the tariff for FY 2014-15. The consolidated surplus computed for FY 2014-15 is shown in the Table below:

Table 5.7: Consolidated surplus computed for FY 2014-15

SI. No.	Particulars	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	Total
1	Total revenue surplus for FY 2014-15 including truing up	319.20	157.10	521.61	415.23	1413.14

The consolidated surplus of the four state owned distribution licensees for FY 2014-15 is Rs. 1413.14 Crore. Due to a change in the Tariff Schedule for FY 2014-15 of the Discoms, there will be reduction in the surplus amount by Rs 53.44 Crore. The net consolidated surplus of the four distribution licensees for FY 2014-15 is Rs. 1359.70 Crore.

It is observed that there is a surplus of Rs. 1359.70 Crore for the year FY 2014-15. This is on account of the Mid-term Review sought by the Petitioners and the approval of the Commission based on the various parameters and Regulations of the second MYT period. The Commission feels that it may not be appropriate to reduce the tariff



based on the projected surplus in the current year as there are certain changes likely in the energy sales of high value consumers due to Open Access, uncertainty in the sale of surplus power in the market etc. In addition, CERC in its order dt. 21-02-2014 has approved some increase in Generation Tariff of Mundra UMPP and Adani Power Projects which has been appealed in APTEL, the result of which may have an impact on the DISCOMs.



### 6. Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

#### 6.1 Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

The Commission had approved the formula for Fuel Price and Power Purchase Cost Adjustment (FPPPA) vide order in Case No. 2 of 2003 dated 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2004.

The Commission, vide its order dated 29.10.2013, has revised the formula as mentioned below:

#### 6.2 Formula

#### FPPPA = [ (PPCA-PPCB)]/[100-Loss in %]

Where,

PPCA	is the average power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy
	(including transmission cost), computed based on the operational
	parameters approved by the Commission or principles laid down in
	the Power Purchase Agreements in Rs./kWh for all the generation
	sources as approved by the Commission while determining ARR and
	who have supplied power in the given quarter and transmission
	charges as approved by the Commission for transmission network
	calculated as total power purchase cost billed in Rs. Million divided by
	the total quantum of power purchase in Million Units made during the
	quarter.
PPCB	is the approved average base power purchase cost per unit of
	delivered energy (including transmission cost) for all the generating
	stations considered by the Commission for supplying power to the
	company in Rs./kWh and transmission charges as approved by the
	Commission calculated as the total power purchase cost approved by
	the Commission in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power
	purchase in Million Units considered by the Commission.
Loss in %	is the weighted average of the approved level of Transmission and
	Distribution losses(%) for the four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL



applicable for a particular quarter or actual weighted average in Transmission and Distribution losses(%) for four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL of the previous year for which true up have been done by the Commission, whichever is lower.

#### 6.3 Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB)

The Commission has approved the total energy requirement and the total Power Purchase Cost for all the DISCOMs including fixed cost, variable cost, GETCO cost, PGCIL charges, SLDC charges for the FY 2014-15 from the various sources in the order of Mid-Term Review of Business Plan as given in the Table below:

Year	Total Energy Requir ement	Fixed cost	Variable costs	GETCO costs (Rs	GUVNL charges (Rs	PGCIL charges (Rs	SLDC charges (Rs	Total Power Purchase cost	Power Purchase cost per unit
	(MU)	crore)	crore)	crore)	crore)	crore)	crore)	(Rs crore)	(Rs/kWh)
FY 2014-15	78714	10111	15045	2473	315	751	19	28114	3.65

As mentioned above the base Power Purchase cost for the DISCOMS is Rs 3.65/kWh and the base FPPPA charge is Rs. 1.20/kWh.

GUVNL/DISCOMs may claim difference between actual power purchase cost and base power purchase cost approved in the table above as per the approved FPPPA formula mentioned in para 6.2 above.

Information regarding FPPPA recovery and the FPPPA calculations shall be kept on website of the Licensee/GUVNL.

For any increase in FPPPA, worked out on the basis of above formula, beyond ten(10) paise per kWh in a quarter, prior approval of the Commission shall be necessary and only on approval of such additional increase by the Commission, the FPPPA can be billed to consumers.

FPPPA calculations shall be submitted to the Commission within one month from end of the relevant quarter



# 7. Wheeling charges and cross subsidy surcharge

#### 7.1 Allocation matrix

Regulations 88.1 of MYT Regulations, 2011 of GERC stipulates that the Commission shall specify the wheeling charges of distribution wires business of the distribution licensees in its ARR and Tariff Order.

The allocation matrix for allocation of costs between wire business and retail supply business as adopted by the Commission in MYT order is shown in the Table below:

Table 7.1 Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply for PGVCL

SI.	Allocation Matrix	Wire	Retail Supply
No.		Business	Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	0%	100%
2.1	Employee expenses	60%	40%
2.2	Repair & Maintenance expenses	90%	10%
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	50%	50%
2.4	Other Debits	50%	50%
2.5	Extraordinary Items	50%	50%
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	25%	75%
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	55%	45%
3	Depreciation	90%	10%
4	Interest & Finance charges	90%	10%
5	Interest on Working Capital & Security	10%	90%
	Deposit		
6	Bad debts written off	0%	100%
7	Income tax	90%	10%
8	Return on Equity	90%	10%
9	Non-tariff income	10%	90%

The Commission has adopted the same allocation matrix and estimated segregated approved ARR for wires business and retail supply business for PGVCL for FY 2014-15 as given in Table 7.2.



Table 7.2: Allocation of ARR between wire and retail supply business for PGVCL for FY 2014-15

(Rs. crore)

SI.				
No.	Allocation Matrix	App ARR for FY 2014-15	Wire Business	Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	8399.89	0.00	8399.89
2	Employee expenses	531.74	319.04	212.70
3	Repair & Maintenance expenses	78.70	70.83	7.87
4	Administration & General Expenses	108.69	54.35	54.35
5	Other Debits	3.71	0.00	0.00
6	Extraordinary Items	-	0.00	0.00
7	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	-	0.00	0.00
8	Less: Other Expenses Capitalised	333.56	183.46	150.10
9	Depreciation	493.25	443.93	49.33
10	Interest & Finance charges	310.45	279.41	31.05
11	Interest on Working Capital & Security Deposit	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Bad debts written off	2.14	0.00	2.14
13	Sub Total (1 to 13)	9595.02	984.10	8607.21
14	Return on Equity	317.45	285.70	31.74
15	Income Tax	15.00	13.50	1.50
16	Total Expenditure (13 to 15)	9927.46	1283.30	8640.46
17	Less: Non-Tariff Income	273.19	27.32	245.87
18	Aggregate Revenue Requirement (16-17)	9654.27	1255.98	8394.59

#### 7.2 Wheeling charges

The wheeling charges for the four Distribution Companies, DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL for the FY 2014-15 as given below are applicable for use of the distribution system of a licensee by other licenses or generating companies or captive power plants or consumers / users who are permitted open access under section 42 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003.



SI.No.	Particulars	Units	Amount
1	Distribution costs of the four DISCOMs	Rs. crore	2879.51
2	Distribution cost of the four DISCOMs at 11 kV level	Rs. crore	863.85
	(30% of total distribution cost)		
3	Energy input at 11 kV	MU	66656
4	Wheeling charges at 11 kV	Ps./kWh	13
5	Wheeling charges at 400 V (LT)	Ps./kWh	48

Detailed computation of wheeling charges is shown in the Annexure 7.1.

#### **Distribution Iosses**

The distribution loss at 11 kV and 400 V during FY 2014-15 are given below:

Particulars	Point of energy delivered	
	11 kV	400 Volts
11 kV, 22 kV and 33 kV	10%	10.31%
400 Volts		16.45%

The losses in HT and LT network are 10% and 10.31% respectively, with respect to energy input to the segment of the system. In case injection at 11 kV levels and drawal at LT level involved use of both the networks i.e. 11 kV and LT, the combined loss works out to 16.45% of the energy injection at 11 kV network.

The above wheeling charges payable shall be uniform for all the four distribution companies, DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.

#### 7.3 Cross subsidy charge

The cross subsidy surcharge is based on the formula given in the Tariff Policy as below:

S = T-[C(1+L/100)+D]

Where,

**S** is the surcharge

**T** is the tariff payable by the relevant category of consumers;

**C** is the weighted average cost of power purchase of top 5% at the margin excluding liquid fuel based generation and renewable power.

**D** is the Wheeling charges.

**L** is the system losses for the applicable voltage level, expressed as percentage.

The cross subsidy surcharge based on the above formula is worked out as shown in the Table below:



Table 7.3: Cross subsidy surcharge for FY 2014-15

SI.	Particular	HT industry
No.		
1	Т	Rs.7.02 / kWh
2	С	Rs.5.91 /kWh
3	D	13 Ps/kWh
4	L	10%
5	S = cross subsidy surcharge	39 Ps/kWh

#### **Computation of Cross subsidy surcharge**

1. DISCOM weighted Average HT tariff including base FPPPA charge @ Rs.

#### 1.20 per unit

Particulars	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	Average HT Tariff
					(Rs./kWh)
HT Industry	7.08	7.15	6.99	6.87	7.02

2. Wt. average power purchase cost of top 5% at the margin excluding liquid fuel base generation and renewable power.

The Commission has considered 50% availability of energy from costlier gas bas power stations looking to the limited supply of gas. The commission has also added costs of GETCO, PGCIL, GUVNL and SLDC in the average power purchase cost as shown below:

Stations	Energy procured (MU)	Avg. Rate (Rs./kWh)	Total cost of power (Rs. crore)
GPEC	1164	6.5424	761.54
Utran extension	1002	5.4667	547.76
Sikka TPS	1329	4.8405	643.30
GIPC II (165)	279.5	4.4350	123.96
GIPCL SLPP	162	4.3473	70.43
Total	3936		2146.98

Average power purchase cost =  $\{[(2146.98/3936)*10] + [GETCO, PGCIL, GUVNL and SLDC cost @ Rs 0.45/kWh]\} = Rs.5.91/kWh.$ 

#### **Cross subsidy surcharge**

For H.T. : S=7.02-[5.91(1+10/100)+0.13] = Rs.0.39/kWh



#### Annexure 7.1

#### **Computation of Wheeling Charges**

SI.							
No	Particulars	Unit	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	TOTAL
1	Distribution		458.35	503.57	1255.98	661.60	2879.51
а	11 KV level (at 30%)	Rs. Crore	137.51	151.07	376.79	198.48	863.85
b	LT level (at 70%)	Rs. Crore	320.85	352.50	879.19	463.12	2015.65
2	Energy input at DISCOM periphery	MU	14678	8703	24925	18350	66656
3	Wheeling charges at 11 kV (a/2)*1000	Ps. / kWh	9.37	17.36	15.12	10.82	12.96
4	11 kV losses (@10%)	MU	1467.8	870.3	2492.5	1835	6665.60
5	Sales at 11kV	MU	5674	2839	5580	4239	18332.00
6	Energy input at LT (2 - ( 4+5))	MU	7536.2	4993.7	16852.5	12276	41658.4
7	Wheeling charges at LT (1(b)/6)*1000	Ps. / kWh	42.57	70.59	52.17	37.73	48.39
8	Sales at LT level	MU	7316	4820	13363	11863	37362.00
9	LT loss (6-8)	%	220.2	173.7	3489.5	413	4296.4
10	Total losses (4+9)	MU	1688	1044	5982	2248	10962
		%	11.50%	12.00%	24.00%	12.25%	16.45%



### 8. Compliance of directives

#### 8.1 Compliance of Directives

The Commission, in its tariff Orders dated 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2012 and 16<sup>th</sup> April 2013, had issued various directives to PGVCL, which has submitted compliance report on the directives issued in the Tariff Order for truing up FY 2011-12 and determination of tariff for FY 2013-14 and the Petition for truing up for FY 2012-13 and determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15.

The Commission's comments on the status of compliance of the directives by PGVCL are given below. The Commission has also issued fresh directives to the licensee, wherever required.

#### **Earlier Directives**

#### **Directive 1: Poor Quality of Supply and Poor Voltages**

PGVCL is directed to analyse the voltage at various nodes in its LT network, identify the locations facing low voltage and submit its plan to improve the voltage profile in these areas. A report in this regard shall be submitted to the Commission by September 2013.

#### Compliance

Providing Quality Power Supply at appropriate voltage level is the prime duty of the Company. Generally, voltage profile of the Distribution Network of the company is quite good, however, the overall system voltage influences the voltage profile of the Company's Distribution network. With the increased generation in the company's area and consequent development of the Transmission System, the overall voltage profile has improved.

Moreover, to improve the voltage profile and to address the issue of low voltage, the Company carries out feeder bifurcation, DTC review, and replacement of conductors, etc. Activities carried out in this regard during the last three years are mentioned hereunder.



Particulars	Unit	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14 (up to September '13)
Feeder Bifurcation	No.	191	142	232	105
Dist. Transformer Center Review	No.	351	594	322	188
Conductor Replacement	KM	5052	3478	3607	784

Further, for supplying quality power supply to the consumers, the Company carries out maintenance activities. Maintenance carried out during the last three years by the Company is given hereunder.

Particulars		Unit	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14 (up to September'13)
Network	Village	No.	3314	3018	4150	1803
Maintenance	HT	KM	36101	20299	36435	19301
	LT	KM	35513	28718	34493	14003
	T/C	No.	49986	41447	59080	34542
Providing of ABC		KM	676	966	6284	1703
Providing of 5 KVA	T/C	No	995	3789	5024	880

Moreover, besides meeting consumers' demand, for improvement of Voltage Profile, the following numbers of 66 KV Substations have been commissioned during the last three years.

SI. No.	Year	Nos. of 66 KV Substations Commissioned
1	2011-12	27
2	2012-13	23
3	2013-14 (Up to September '13)	25

#### Commission's comments

Action taken by PGVCL is noted.

#### **Directive 2: Segregation of Technical and Commercial Losses:**

PGVCL is directed to carry out a similar exercise for FY 2012-13, as undertaken for FY 2011-12.

#### Compliance:

Technical Losses of the Distribution System largely depend upon "Load" on the line and configuration of line, besides other technical parameters like type and size of conductor used, length of the line, etc. Since loading on the line is highly dynamic



throughout the year; it is very difficult to ascertain technical losses by conducting Energy Audit for a part of year. Further, it varies year on year. Therefore, such an exercise needs to be carried out every year for assessing the technical losses. Moreover, any such exercise has always some kind of assumptions.

REC has given a theoretical formula for calculation of theoretical losses (technical losses). Since any kind of methodology has some kind of assumption; calculating theoretical loss with the formula given by REC provides a reasonable approximation of technical loss. The Company calculates the theoretical loss every year for all feeders.

Sample calculation of theoretical loss with the help of REC formula is given under:

Feeder Parameters:

Name of Feeder:-11 KV BODI

Name of 66 KV S/S (from where feeder emanates): 66 KV AJAB S/S

Circle:- Junagadh

Total Nos. of Distribution Transformers- Capacity wise:

(a) 10 KVA - 2 No,

(b) 25 KVA - 2 No

(c) 63 KVA - 3 No

(d) 100 KVA - 3 No

Total KVA of all transformers = 564 KVA.

Maximum Amp -20 Amps

Unit sent out during FY 2012-13 - 1041390 kWh

Main length of 11 KV Line: - 7.55 Kms.

Normative LDF:

For Urban category feeder: 1.5 For Rural Category feeder: 2.0

(A) Diversity Facto (DF):- Connected Load (In KVA)

Peak Load (i.e. 1.732 x 11 KV x Max Amp.)

564\_ 1.732\*11\*20

= 1.48



(D): Calculation of Distribution Transformer loss and LT Line loss:

### Normative Values of Iron Losses, Copper Losses & LT Line Losses Transformer capacity- wise

SI. No.	X'mer Capacity	Iron Loss (in Watts)	Copper Loss (in Watts)	Avg LT Line Loss( in Watts)
Α	5			
В	10	45	225	35
С	16	65	425	48
1	25	100	720	63
2	50	200	1300	163
3	63	200	1300	260
4	100	290	1850	1308
5	200	480	2500	2410
6	250	580	4500	
7	300	580	4500	3008
8	500	650	5600	18910
9	650	650	5600	18910
10	750	650	5600	18910
11	800	650	5600	18910

#### Calculation of Iron losses:

SI. No.	X'mer Capacity	Iron Loss (in Watts) (I)	No. of Transformers on the feeder (II)	Total Annual Iron loss in kWh = (I) X (II) X 8760 1000
1	10	45	2	788
2	25	100	2	1752
3	63	200	3	5256
4	100	290	3	7621
	Tota	15418		



#### **Calculation of Copper Losses:**

SI. No.	X'mer Capacity	Copper Loss( in	No . of Transformers	Annual Copper loss in kWh
	,	Watts) (I)	on the feeder	=
			(II)	(I) X (II) X 8760 X (LF)2
				1000
1	10	225	2	597
2	25	425	2	1127
3	63	1300	3	5170
4	100	1850	3	7357
	Total C	14251		

#### Total Technical Losses (kWh):-

= (HT Line Losses + LT Line Losses + Annual Copper Losses + Annual Iron Losses)

$$= (4161 + 11698 + 14251 + 15418) = 45528$$

% Technical Loss :- (Total Losses) / (Unit Sent Out Annually) x 100

= (45528/1041390) x100 = 4.34 %

Similarly, the theoretical loss (technical Loss) of all categories of feeders has been



calculated by the Company. Feeder category wise technical losses of the all the feeders is as under:

SI. No.	Feeder category	% Theoretical Loss
1	AG DOM	10.74
3	HT EXP	0.20
4	INDUSTRIAL	2.63
5	URBAN	7.63
6	JGY	8.76
7	GIDC	4.01
9	OVERALL	7.55

Thus, the theoretical loss of all feeders together gives us the technical loss of the Company. Accordingly, the theoretical loss of FY 2013-14 is 7.55 %.

#### **Commission's Comments**

Noted. PGVCL is directed to carry out a similar exercise for FY 2013-14.

#### Directive 3: Category-wise Cost-to- Serve (Cost of Supply)

The Commission has received the report for FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12. PGVCL is directed to submit cost of supply report for FY 2012-13 by December 2013.

#### Compliance

It is submitted that cost of supply report for FY 2012-13 is under preparation and shall be submitted to the Commission at the earliest

#### **Commission's Comments**

The report is received.

New Directives Issued with Tariff Order dated 16<sup>th</sup> April 2013.

#### **Directive 1: Meters on Distribution Transformers**

PGVCL is directed to provide meters on all distribution transformers, to arrive at the losses and record the energy consumption as a part of energy audit, so as to compute the losses under each distribution transformer. The present status of metering of distribution transformers and recording of energy consumption shall be reported by December 2013.

#### Compliance:

The present status of metering on Distribution Transformers is as under:



SI. No.	Particulars	Nos. as on 30.09.2013		%
1	Other Than Agriculture	77840	57227	73.52
2	Agriculture	278594	35808	12.85
3	Total	356434	93035	26.10

As far as Energy Audit is concerned, it is submitted that, in the first phase, this is required in the urban areas, where the comparative distribution loss level is low and precision in identifying power theft prone areas/ consumers is required. Therefore, all 36 towns of PGVCL area with a population of more than 30, 000 have been selected for energy audit under the R-APRDRP Programme.

More than 26,500 nos. of Distribution Transformers, supplying power to consumers of the selected 36 towns have been provided with the communicable type of meters. The Company is in the process of setting up a mechanism for remote collecting data from such meters at the Central Server. The Company expects to complete the process by June, 2014. Once the process is fully operational, the Company would be able to carry out energy audit of all consumers of the above 36 towns.

#### **Commission's Comments**

The energy audit reports for the 36 towns should be submitted to the Commission on a quarterly basis from August 2014 onwards. The installation of meters at distribution transformers, other than in the 36 towns and distribution transformers feeding Agricultural loads, shall be expedited and quarterly progress reports submitted from September 2014 onwards. Energy Audit shall be conducted in the areas/divisions where meters have been installed at distribution transformers. Quarterly reports on the energy audit shall be submitted for each of the areas from September 2014 onwards.

#### **Directive 2: Reintroduction of Energy Efficient Pump Sets Scheme**

PGVCL is directed to reintroduce the Energy Efficient Pump Set Scheme to conserve energy. A report on the pilot project undertaken in replacing old pump sets with efficient pump sets may be submitted by September 2013.

#### Compliance:

To encourage the utilisation of electrical energy efficiently by the existing agriculture consumers, a scheme was launched for two talukas of the Company, viz., Halvad



and Amreli, through which the existing pump set of the consumer was to be replaced by an energy efficient pump set having 20% less capacity than the existing pump set. The Scheme was on cost sharing basis and it was in force up to December, 2010. Out of more than 9000 number of eligible consumers, 1612 had applied and 1608 pump sets were replaced by the Company. The Scheme could not encourage active and effective consumers-participation since for them "Gain" was linked with the capacity of the pump, i.e., larger the capacity, more gain and since, the size of pumpsets being used by majority of the agriculture consumers of PGVCL area are in the range of 5 HP to 7.5 HP, gain was not that lucrative for them. Therefore, the scheme could not get a good response. However, the Company is in the process of making the use of Star Rated Pumps mandatory for the new agriculture Consumers and, thereby, would like to encourage efficient use of electricity by agriculture consumers.

#### **Commission's Comments**

Action taken by PGVCL is noted. The progress shall be reported to the Commission on a quarterly basis.

#### Directive 3: Losses on Jyoti Gram Yojna feeders

The losses, at over 20% on Jyoti Gram Yojna feeders are still high. Special efforts shall be made to bring the losses to an acceptable level, as in the case of other feeders, on top priority. Action taken shall be reported to the Commission by December, 2013

#### Compliance:

Distribution loss of JGY Feeder:

SI. No.	Year	Unit sent out [MUs]	Unit sold out [MUs]	% Loss
1	2009-10	3461.68	1515.37	56.22
2	2010-11	3706.73	1766.80	52.34
3	2011-12	4034.44	2050.53	49.17
4	2012-13	4311.10	2333.23	45.88
5	2013-14(up to Aug-13)	1880.33	1131.03	39.85

PGVCL covers large rural areas of the state and contribution of JGY category loss in the overall loss is also significant. Therefore, the Company has taken up the task in a phased manner by selecting high loss JGY feeders for taking corrective action. Activities carried out by the Company are furnished hereunder:



SI. No.	Particular		2011-12	2012- 13	2013-14 Up to October 2013	Total
1	No of Feeders	No of Feeders		371	651	1231
	Meter Provided on N	o of				
2	T/C		2157	3598	2133	7888
3	11kv Crossing Remo	val	767	498	759	2024
4	Old/DEFECTIVE	1ph	40755	91494	16830	149079
	METER REPLACEMENT	3ph	751	1456	2831	5038
5	Cumm. MMB	1ph	36840	38528	12425	87793
	Provided	3ph	588	775	1702	3065
6	Cumm. Sealing	1ph	49657	74521	37262	161440
	Done	3ph	780	1571	5126	7477
7	Cumm. AB CABLE to	be	2142	2248	349	4739
8	De-augmentation of Village Transformers		228	30	318	576
9	5 KVA TC Installed			1785	197	1982
10	No. of Connections Checked		173736	294228	99042	567006
11	Nos. of connections Released		16631		5213	21844
12	No. of consumers cre	eated	16530		3068	19598

Taking into account the area constraints, available resources and past trends, the Company has planned to reduce further 5% during the current financial year.

#### **Commission's Comments**

The losses, at 39.85%, are still very high. More serious efforts needs to be made to curb pilferage of energy to reduce the losses apart, from the replacing the defective meters on priority and also replacing electromagnetic meters with static meters, if any, still in service.



### 9. Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals

#### 9.1 Introduction

The Commission is guided by the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003, the National Electricity Policy (NEP), the Tariff Policy, the Regulations on Terms and Conditions of Tariff issued by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) and MYT Regulations notified by the Commission.

Section 61 of the Act lays down the broad principles, and guidelines for determination of retail supply tariff. The basic principle is to ensure that the tariff should progressively reflect the cost of supply of electricity and reduce the cross subsidies amongst categories within a period to be specified by the Commission.

#### 9.2 Proposal of PGVCL for tariff structure and changes in tariff structure

#### **Tariff Proposal**

PGVCL has not proposed any change to the tariff structure, but proposed rationalization in HTP-I by increase in fixed charge and reducing energy charge. This has been done by PGVCL to ensure recovery of fixed charges incurred by them, which is not being recovered fully.

#### 9.3 Commission's Analysis

It is observed by the Commission that there is a consolidated surplus of Rs.1413.14 Crores for the four Discoms. The Commission has decided not to revise the tariff rates for FY 2014-15 for the reasons narrated in previous chapter. However, a small change is made in the fixed charges of HTP III and HTP IV category following the representation of consumers. It is represented by various CPPs / generating stations that they need to pay demand charges of HTP III category, which is in terms of Rs./kW/month, in the event of requirement of start-up power. Thus, against the requirement of start-up power for a part period of a day, they are required to pay demand charge for the full month. Looking to the genuine difficulty of such generating



stations, the Commission decides to rationalize the demand charge of HTP III category in Rs/kW/day terms.

Further, the consumers of HTP IV category had represented before the Commission that demand charge for this category, which is meant for usage of power during night hours only, is very high. It was represented that demand charge for HTP IV category should be 1/3 of that of HTP-I category and they have to close down for want of competitive tariff. The Commission decided to make the demand charge of HTP IV category at 1/2 of that of HTP I category so that such consumers can maintain their competitiveness. This shall increase the night-time consumption and shall help the licensees to utilize generation sources, which otherwise requires backing down during off-peak hours. This will also help licensees to optimize their power purchase portfolio, as energy price during night hours is lower. With this change in demand charge of HTP IV category, there will be a marginal reduction in revenue of DISCOMs to the extent of Rs. 53.44 Crore.



#### **COMMISSION'S ORDER**

The Commission approves the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for PGVCL for FY 2014-15 as shown in the Table below:

#### Approved ARR for PGVCL for FY 2014-15

(Rs. Crore)

		(1101 01010)
SI. No.	Particulars	FY 2014-15
1	Cost of power purchase	8399.9
2	Operations & Maintenance expenses	389.28
2.1	Employee cost	531.74
2.2	Repairs and maintenance	78.7
2.3	Administrative and general expenses	108.69
2.4	Other debits	3.71
2.5	Extraordinary items	-
2.6	Net prior period expenses / income	-
2.7	Other expenses capitalised	(333.56)
3	Depreciation	493.25
4	Interest and finance charges	310.45
5	Interest on working capital	0
6	Provision for bad debts	2.14
7	Return on equity	317.45
8	Provision for tax / tax paid	15.00
9	Total expenditure (1 to 8)	9,927.46
10	Less: Non-Tariff income	273.19
11	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	9,654.27

The retail supply tariffs for PGVCL distribution area for FY 2014-15 determined by the Commission are annexed to this order.

This order shall come into force with effect from the 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2014. The revised rate shall be applicable for the electricity consumption from the 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2014 onwards.

Sd/-	Sd/-
DR. M.K. IYER	SHRI PRAVINBHAI PATEL

Place: Gandhinagar Date: 29/04/2014



#### **ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE FOR FY 2014-15**

#### **TARIFF SCHEDULE**

#### **EFFECTIVE FROM 1<sup>st</sup> MAY, 2014**

## TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT LOW TENSION, HIGH TENSION, AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION

#### **GENERAL**

- The tariff figures indicated in this tariff schedule are the tariff rates payable by the consumers of unbundled Distribution Licensees of the erstwhile GEB viz. UGVCL, DGVCL, MGVCL and PGVCL.
- 2. These tariffs are exclusive of Electricity Duty, tax on sale of electricity, taxes and other charges levied by the Government or other competent authorities from time to time which are payable by the consumers, in addition to the charges levied as per the tariff.
- 3. All these tariffs for power supply are applicable to only one point of supply.
- 4. The charges specified are on monthly basis. Distribution Licensee may decide the period of billing and adjust the tariff rate accordingly.
- Except in cases where the supply is used for purposes for which a lower tariff is
  provided in the tariff schedule, the power supplied to any consumer shall be
  utilized only for the purpose for which supply is taken and as provided for in the
  tariff.
- 6. Meter charges shall be applicable as prescribed under 'GERC (Licensee's Power to Recover Expenditure incurred in providing supply and other Miscellaneous Charges) Regulations, 2005 as in force from time to time.
- The various provisions of the GERC (licensee's power to recover expenditure incurred in providing supply and other miscellaneous charges) Regulations will continue to apply.
- 8. Conversion of Ratings of electrical appliances and equipments from kilowatt to B.H.P. or vice versa will be done, when necessary, at the rate of 0.746 kilowatt equal to 1 B.H.P.
- 9. The billing of fixed charges based on contracted load or maximum demand shall be done in multiples of 0.5 (one half) Horse Power or kilo watt (HP or kW) as the case may be. The fraction of less than 0.5 shall be rounded to next 0.5. The billing of energy charges will be done on complete one kilo-watt-hour (kWh).



- 10. The Connected Load for the purpose of billing will be taken as the maximum load connected during the billing period.
- 11. The Fixed charges, minimum charges, demand charges, meter rent and the slabs of consumption of energy for energy charges mentioned shall not be subject to any adjustment on account of existence of any broken period within billing period arising from consumer supply being connected or disconnected any time within the duration of billing period for any reason.
- 12. Contract Demand shall mean the maximum kW / kVA for the supply of which licensee undertakes to provide facilities to the consumer from time to time.
- 13. Fuel Cost and Power Purchase Adjustment Charges shall be applicable in accordance with the Formula approved by the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission from time to time.
- 14. Payment of penal charges for usage in excess of contract demand / load for any billing period does not entitle the consumer to draw in excess of contract demand / load as a matter of right.
- 15. The payment of power factor penalty does not exempt the consumer from taking steps to improve the power factor to the levels specified in the Regulations notified under the Electricity Act, 2003 and licensee shall be entitled to take any other action deemed necessary and authorized under the Act.
- 16. Delayed payment charges for all consumers:

No delayed payment charges shall be levied if the bill is paid within ten days from the date of billing (excluding date of billing).

Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 15% per annum in case of all consumers except Agricultural category for the period from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date. Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 12% per annum for the consumer governed under Rate AG from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date.

For Government dues, the delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate provided under the relevant Electricity Duty Act.



#### PART - I

## SCHEDULE OF TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT LOW AND MEDIUM VOLTAGE

#### 1.0 RATE: RGP

This tariff is applicable to all services in the residential premises which are not covered under 'Rate: RGP (Rural)' Category.

Single-phase supply- Aggregate load up to 6kW

Three-phase supply- Aggregate load above 6kW

#### 1.1 FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(d)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 65/- per month

#### For BPL Household Consumers:

Fixed charges	Rs. 5/- per month

#### **PLUS**

## 1.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION: (OTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)

(a)	First 50 units	315 Paise per Unit
(b)	Next 50 units	360 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 150 units	425 Paise per Unit
(d)	Above 250 units	520 Paise per Unit

## 1.3 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION: FOR THE CONSUMER BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL)\*\*

(a)	First 30 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rate as per RGP

<sup>\*\*</sup>The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the sub-division office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 30 units per month.



# 1.4 MINIMUM BILL (EXCLUDING METER CHARGES)

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 1.1 above.

# 2.0 RATE: RGP (RURAL)

This tariff is applicable to all services for residential premises located in areas within Gram Panchayat as defined in the Gujarat Panchayats Act.

However, this is not applicable to villages which are located within the geographical jurisdiction of Urban Development Authority.

Single-phase supply- Aggregate load up to 6kW

Three-phase supply- Aggregate load above 6kW

#### 2.1 FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(d)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 65/- per month

#### For BPL Household Consumers:

Fixed charges	Rs. 5/- per month

#### **PLUS**

# 2.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION: (OTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)

(a)	First 50 units	275 Paise per Unit
(b)	Next 50 units	320 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 150 units	385 Paise per Unit
(d)	Above 250 units	490 Paise per Unit

# 2.3 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION: FOR THE CONSUMER BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL) \*\*

(a)	First 30 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rate as per RGP (Rural)

<sup>\*\*</sup>The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the subdivision office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for



30 units per month.

# 2.4 MINIMUM BILL (EXCLUDING METER CHARGES):

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 2.1 above.

Note: If the part of the residential premises is used for non-residential (commercial) purposes by the consumers located within 'Gram Panchayat' as defined in Gujarat Panchayat Act, entire consumption will be charged under this tariff.

# 3.0 RATE: GLP

This tariff is applicable to the educational institutes and other institutions registered with the Charity Commissioner and research and development laboratories.

(a)	Fixed charges	Rs. 60/- per month
(b)	Energy charges	380 Paise per Unit

# 4.0 RATE: NON-RGP

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff categories and having aggregate load up to and including 40kW.

# 4.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

(a) First 10 kW of connected load	Rs. 45/- per kW
(b) For next 30 kW of connected load	Rs. 75/- per kW

### **PLUS**

#### 4.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

(a)	For installation having contracted load up to and including 10kW: for entire consumption during the month	4/5	Paise	per
(b)	For installation having contracted load exceeding	455	Paise	per
	10kW: for entire consumption during the month	Unit		

#### 4.3 MINIMUM BILL PER INSTALLATION FOR SEASONAL CONSUMERS

(a) "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses



power supply for ice factory, ice candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, huller, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fisheries industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, etc.

- (b) Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing in advance about the off-season period during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.
- (c) The total minimum amount under the head "Fixed and Energy Charges" payable by the seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause (a) above and complying with the provision stipulated under sub-clause (b) above shall be Rs. 1750 per annum per kW of the contracted load.
- (d) The units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at a flat rate of 470 Paise per unit.
- (e) The electricity bills related to the off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills related to the seasonal period only under the heads "Fixed Charges" and "Energy Charges", shall be taken into account while determining the amount of short-fall payable towards the annual minimum bill as specified under sub-clause (c) above.

# 5.0 RATE: LTMD

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff categories and having aggregate load above 40kW and up to 100kW.

This tariff shall also be applicable to consumer covered in category- 'Rate: Non-RGP' so opts to be charged in place of 'Rate: Non-RGP' tariff.



#### 5.1 FIXED CHARGES:

	For billing demand up to the contract	
	demand	
(a)	(i) For first 40 kW of billing demand	Rs. 85/- per kW per month
(ω)	(ii) Next 20 kW of billing demand	Rs. 120/- per kW per month
	(iii) Above 60 kW of billing demand	Rs. 185/- per kW per month
(b)	For billing demand in excess of the	Rs. 255/- per kW
(5)	contract demand	110. 200/ por 1111

#### **PLUS**

# 5.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For the entire consumption during the month	460 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

#### **PLUS**

#### 5.3 REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:

For all the reactive units (KVARH) drawn during	10 paise per KVARH
the month	To paise per RVART

# 5.4 BILLING DEMAND

The billing demand shall be highest of the following:

- (a) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- (b) Actual maximum demand registered during the month
- (c) 15 kW

# 5.5 MINIMUM BILL

Payment of demand charges every month based on the billing demand.

#### 5.6 SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING LTMD SUPPLY:

5.6.1 The expression, "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers etc.



- 5.6.2 A consumer, who desires to be billed for minimum charges on annual basis, shall intimate in writing in advance about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.
- 5.6.3 The total minimum amount under the head "Demand and Energy Charges" payable by a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub clause 5.6.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub clause 5.6.2 above shall be Rs. 2900 per annum per kW of the billing demand.
- 5.6.4 The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:
  - (a) The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
  - (b) Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
  - (c) 15 kW.
- 5.6.5.1 Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 470 Paise per unit.

# 6.0 RATE: NON-RGP NIGHT

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load up to 40 kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10:00 PM to 06:00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)

#### 6.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate Non-RGP above.

#### **PLUS**

#### 6.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For entire consumption during the month	250 Paise per Unit



#### NOTE:

- 1. 10% of total units consumed and 15% of the contract load can be availed beyond the prescribed hours.
- 2. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of Non-RGP tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
- 3. The option can be exercised to switch over from Non-RGP tariff to Non-RGP Night tariff and vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.
- 4. In case the consumer is not fulfilling the conditions of this tariff category, then such consumer for the relevant billing period will be billed under tariff category Non-RGP.

# 7.0 RATE: LTMD- NIGHT

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load above 40kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)

#### 7.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate **LTMD** above.

### **PLUS**

## 7.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For entire consumption during the month	250 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

# 7.3 REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:

For all reactive units (KVARH) drawn during	10 Paise per KVARH
the month	10 Paise pei KVAKIT

#### NOTE:

- 1. 10% of total units consumed and 15% of the contract load can be availed beyond the prescribed hours.
- 2. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of LTMD tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as



above.

- 3. The option can be exercised to switch over from LTMD tariff to LTMD-Night tariff and vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.
- In case the consumer is not fulfilling the conditions of this tariff category, then such consumer for the relevant billing period will be billed under tariff category LTMD.

# 8.0 RATE: LTP- LIFT IRRIGATION

Applicable for supply of electricity to Low Tension Agricultural consumers contracting load up to 125 HP requiring continuous (twenty-four hours) power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as cannel, river, & dam and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 40/- per HP
PLUS		
(b)	Energy charges For entire consumption during the month	170 Paise per Unit

# 9.0 RATE: WWSP

This tariff shall be applicable to services used for water works and sewerage pumping purposes.

9.1 Type I – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by other than local authority:

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 20/- per HP
	PLUS	
(b)	Energy charges per month:  For entire consumption during the month	420 Paise per Unit

9.2 Type II – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by local authority such as Municipal Corporation. Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board located outside Gram Panchayat Area will also attract this tariff:



(	(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 15 per HP
	PLUS		
(	(b)	Energy charges per month:  For entire consumption during the month	400 Paise per Unit

9.3.1 Type III – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by Municipalities / Nagarpalikas and Gram Panchayats or Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board for its installations located in Gram Panchayats:

Energy charges per month:	310 Paise/Unit
For entire consumption during the month	310 Paise/Unit

#### 9.4 TIME OF USE DISCOUNT:

Applicable to all the water works consumers having connected load of 50 HP and above for the Energy consumption during the Off-Peak Load Hours of the Day.

For energy consumption during the off-peak period, viz., 1100 Hrs to 1800 Hrs.	30 Paise per Unit
For energy consumption during night hours, viz., 2200 Hrs to 0600 Hrs. next day	75 Paise per Unit

# 10.0 RATE: AG

This tariff is applicable to services used for irrigation purposes only excluding installations covered under LTP- Lift Irrigation category.

10.1 The rates for following group are as under:

# **10.1.1 HP BASED TARIFF:**

For entire contracted load Rs.200 per HP
--

#### **ALTERNATIVELY**

#### **10.1.2 METERED TARIFF:**

|--|



Energy Charges: For entire consumption	60 Paise per Unit per month
--	-----------------------------

#### 10.1.3 TATKAL SCHEME:

Fixed Charges	Rs. 20 per HP per month
Energy Charges: For entire consumption	80 Paise per Unit per month

NOTE: The consumers under Tatkal Scheme shall be eligible for normal metered tariff as above, on completion of five years period from the date of commencement of supply.

- 10.2 No machinery other than pump water for irrigation (and a single bulb or CFL up to 40 watts) will be permitted under this tariff. Any other machinery connected in the installation governed under this tariff shall be charged separately at appropriate tariff for which consumers shall have to take separate connection.
- 10.3 Agricultural consumers who desire to supply water to brick manufacturing units shall have to pay Rs. 100/HP per annum subject to minimum of Rs. 2000/- per year for each brick Mfg. Unit to which water is supplied in addition to existing rate of HP based / metered agricultural tariff.
- 10.4 Such Agricultural consumers shall have to pay the above charges for a full financial year irrespective of whether they supply water to the brick manufacturing unit for full or part of the Financial Year.

  Agricultural consumers shall have to declare their intension for supply of the

Agricultural consumers shall have to declare their intension for supply of the water to such brick manufacturing units in advance and pay charges accordingly before commencement of the financial year (i.e. in March every year).

#### 11.0 RATE: SL

# 11.1 Tariff for Street Light for Local Authorities and Industrial Estates:

This tariff includes the provision of maintenance, operation and control of the street lighting system.



#### 11.1.1 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all the units consumed during the month:	395 Paise per Unit

#### 11.1.2 OPTIONAL KVAH CHARGES:

For all the kVAh units consumed during the month:	295 Paise per Unit

## 11.1.3 Renewal and Replacements of Lamps:

The consumer shall arrange for renewal and replacement of lamp at his cost by person authorised by him in this behalf under Rule-3 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 / Rules issued by CEA under the Electricity Act, 2003.

11.2 Tariff for power supply for street lighting purposes to consumers other than the local authorities and industrial estates:

#### 11.2.1 FIXED CHARGES:

Rs. 25 per kW per month
-------------------------

# 11.2.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	395 Paise per kWh
---	-------------------

# 11.2.3 Renewal and Replacement of Lamps:

The consumer shall arrange for renewal and replacement of lamp at his cost by person authorised by him in this behalf under Rule-3 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 / Rules issued by CEA under the Electricity Act, 2003.

11.2.4 Maintenance other than Replacement of Lamps:

Maintenance of the street lighting system shall be carried out by Distribution Licensee.

# 12.0 **RATE: TMP**

This tariff is applicable to services of electricity supply for temporary period at the low voltage. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.



# 12.1 FIXED CHARGE

Fixed Charge per Installation	Rs.14 per kW per Day
-------------------------------	----------------------

#### 12.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	455 Paise per Unit

Note: Payment of bills is to be made within seven days from the date of issue of the bill. Supply would be disconnected for non-payment of dues on 24 hours' notice.



# PART - II

# TARIFFS FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT HIGH TENSION (3.3 KV AND ABOVE, 3-PHASE 50 HERTZ), AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION

The following tariffs are available for supply at high tension for large power services for contract demand not less than 100 kVA

# 13.0 **RATE: HTP-I**

This tariff will be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers contracted for 100 kVA and above for regular power supply and requiring the power supply for the purposes not specified in any other HT Categories.

# 13.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

# 13.1.1 For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 120/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 230/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 350/- per kVA per month

## 13.1.2 For Billing Demand in Excess of Contract Demand

For	billing	demand	in	excess	over	the	Rs. 430 per kVA per month	
cont	ract de	mand					The roo per KV/K per month	

#### **PLUS**

#### 13.2 ENERGY CHARGES

For	r entire consumption during the month			
(a)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	425 Paise per Unit		
(b)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	445 paise per Unit		
(c)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	455 Paise per Unit		

#### **PLUS**

# 13.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

For energy consumption during the two peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800 Hrs to 2200 Hrs



(a)	For Billing Demand up to 500 kVA	35 Paise per Unit
(b)	For Billing Demand above 500 kVA	75 Paise per Unit

#### 13.4 BILLING DEMAND:

The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- (a) Actual maximum demand established during the month
- (b) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- (c) One hundred kVA

### 13.5 MINIMUM BILLS:

Payment of "demand charges" based on kVA of billing demand.

### 13.6 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:

# 13.6.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head "Energy Charges" for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head "Energy Charges", will be charged.

#### 13.6.2 Power Factor Rebate:

If the power factor of the consumer's installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head "Energy Charges" for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

#### 13.7 MAXIMUM DEMAND AND ITS MEASUREMENT:

The maximum demand in kW or kVA, as the case may be, shall mean an average kW / kVA supplied during consecutive 30/15 minutes or if consumer is having parallel operation with the grid and has opted for 3 minutes, period of maximum use where such meter with the features of reading the maximum demand in KW/KVA directly, have been provided.



#### 13.8 CONTRACT DEMAND:

The contract demand shall mean the maximum KW/KVA for the supply, of which the supplier undertakes to provide facilities from time to time.

#### 13.9 REBATE FOR SUPPLY AT EHV:

On E	nergy charges:	Rebate @
(a)	If supply is availed at 33/66 kV	0.5%
(b)	If supply is availed at 132 kV and above	1.0%

#### 13.10 CONCESSION FOR USE OF ELECTRICITY DURING NIGHT HOURS:

For the consumer eligible for using supply at any time during 24 hours, entire consumption shall be billed at the energy charges specified above. However, the energy consumed during night hours of 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next morning as is in excess of one third of the total energy consumed during the month, shall be eligible for concession at the rate of 75 Paise per unit.

#### 13.11 SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING HT SUPPLY:

- 13.11.1 The expression, "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers etc.
- 13.11.2 A consumer, who desires to be billed for minimum charges on annual basis, shall intimate in writing in advance about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.
- 13.11.3 The total minimum amount under the head "Demand and Energy Charges" payable by a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub clause 13.11.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub clauses 13.11.2 above shall be Rs. 4350 per annum per kVA of the billing



demand.

- 13.11.4 The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:
  - (a) The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
  - (b) Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
  - (c) One hundred kVA.
- 13.11.5 Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 455 Paise per unit.
- 13.11.6 Electricity bills paid during off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills for seasonal period only under the heads "Demand Charges" and "Energy Charges" shall be taken into account while determining the amount payable towards the annual minimum bill.

# 14.0 RATE HTP-II

Applicability: This tariff shall be applicable for supply of energy to HT consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for Water Works and Sewerage pumping stations run by Local Authorities and GW & SB. GIDC Water Works.

## 14.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

14.1.1 For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 105/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 215/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 280/- per kVA per month

14.1.2 For billing demand in excess of contract demand

For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 350 per kVA per month
---	---------------------------



# **PLUS**

#### 14.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For er	or entire consumption during the month				
(b)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	425 Paise per Unit			
(c)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	445 Paise per Unit			
(d)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	455 Paise per Unit			

#### **PLUS**

# 14.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

For energy consumption during the two peak periods,			
	viz., 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800 Hrs to 2200 Hrs		
(a)	For Billing Demand up to 500kVA	35 Paise per Unit	
(b)	For Billing Demand above 500kVA	75 Paise per Unit	

- 14.4 Billing demand
- 14.5 Minimum bill
- 14.6 Power Factor Adjustment Charges
- 14.7 Maximum demand and its measurement
- 14.8 Contract Demand
- 14.9 Rebate for supply at EHV
- 14.10 Concession for use of electricity during night hours

Same as per HTP-I Tariff

# 15.0 RATE: HTP-III

This tariff shall be applicable to a consumer taking supply of electricity at high voltage, contracting for not less than 100 kVA for temporary period. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

# 15.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

For billing demand up to contract demand	Rs. 16/- per kVA per day
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 18/- per kVA per day



# **PLUS**

## 15.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	650 Paise/Unit
---	----------------

# **PLUS**

#### 15.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

Additional charge for energy consumption during two	
peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800	75 Paise per Unit
Hrs to 2200 Hrs	

- 15.4 Billing demand
- 15.5 Minimum bill
- 15.6 Power Factor Adjustment Charges
- 15.7 Maximum demand and its measurement
- 15.8 Contract Demand
- 15.9 Rebate for supply at EHV

# Same as per HTP-I Tariff

# 16.0 **RATE: HTP-IV**

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers opting to use electricity exclusively during night hours from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day and contracted for regular power supply of 100 kVA and above.

# 16.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate HTP-I above

#### **PLUS**

# 16.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	230 Paise per Unit



- 16.3 Billing demand
- 16.4 Minimum bill
- 16.5 Power Factor Adjustment Charges
- 16.6 Maximum demand and its measurement
- 16.7 Contract Demand
- 16.8 Rebate for supply at EHV

Same as per HTP-I Tariff

#### NOTF.

- 1. 10% of total units consumed and 15% of the contract demand can be availed beyond the prescribed hours for the purpose of maintenance.
- 2. For the purpose of office lighting, fans etc. the consumer may apply for a separate connection.
- 3. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of HTP-I tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
- 4. The option can be exercised to switch over from HTP-I tariff to HTP-IV tariff and vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.
- **5.** In case the consumer is not fulfilling the conditions of this tariff category, then such consumer for the relevant billing period will be billed under tariff category HTP-I.

#### 17.0 RATE: HTP- V

# HT - Agricultural (for HT Lift Irrigation scheme only)

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to High Tension Agricultural consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as canal, river and dam, and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.

#### 17.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

Demand Charges Rs. 40 per kVA per month



#### **PLUS**

#### 17.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	170 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

- 17.3 Billing demand
- 17.4 Minimum bill
- 17.5 Power Factor Adjustment Charges
- 17.6 Maximum demand and its measurement
- 17.7 Contract Demand
- 17.8 Rebate for supply at EHV

Same as per HTP-I Tariff

# 18.0 RATE: RAILWAY TRACTION

This tariff is applicable for power supply to Railway Traction at 132 kV/66 kV.

#### 18.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

For billing demand up to the contract demand	Rs. 160 per kVA per month
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 400 per kVA per month

NOTE: In case of the load transfer for traction supply due to non-availability of power supply at preceding or succeeding point of supply or maintenance at Discom's level, excess demand over the contract demand shall be charged at normal rate at appropriate point of supply.

Normal Demand Charges will also apply in case of bunching of trains. However, Discoms shall charge excess demand charges while raising the bills and Railways have to give convincing details and documentary proof of bunching of trains if they want to be charged at the normal demand charges. If satisfactory proof of bunching of trains is provided, Discom shall consider that occasion for normal demand charges, otherwise excess demand charges will be applicable specified as above at 18.1 (b).



# **PLUS**

# **18.2 ENERGY CHARGES:**

For all units consumed during the month 490 Paise per Unit	
--	--

18.3 Billing demand
18.4 Minimum bill
18.5 Power Factor Adjustment Charges
18.6 Maximum demand and its measurement
18.7 Contract Demand
18.8 Rebate for supply at EHV

